



3 JUL 1965

भारत का राजपत्र

The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

GAZ - 5740

सं० 27] नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, जुलाई 3, 1965/आषाढ़ 12, 1887
No. 27] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, JULY 3, 1965/ASADHA 12, 1887

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

नोटिस

NOTICE

नीचे लिखे भारत के प्रसाधारण राजपत्र 23 जून 1965 तक प्रकाशित किए गए।

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published upto the 23rd June, 1965:—

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
29	S.O. 1956, dated 17th June, 1965.	Ministry of Home Affairs.	Appointing 1st July, 1965 on which the Dadra and Nagar Haveli (Civil Courts and Miscellaneous Provisions) Regulation 1963 (8 of 1963) shall come into force.
	S.O. 1957, dated 17th June, 1965.	Do.	Appointing 1st July, 1965 from which the jurisdiction of the High Court at Bombay shall extend to the Union territory of Dadra and Nagar Haveli.
30	S.O. 1958, dated 18th June, 1965.	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.	Approval of the films specified therein.
31	S.O. 1959, dated 21st June, 1965.	Ministry of Commerce.	Amendment to S. O. 771, dated 6th March, 1965.
32	S.O. 1960, dated 22nd June, 1965.	Do.	Granting recognition to the Adoni Oilseeds and Oil Exchange Limited, Adoni for three years in respect of forward contracts in cottonseed.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
133.	S.O. 1961, dated 22nd June, 1965.	Ministry of Commerce.	Amendments to S.O. 4393, dated 28th December, 1964.
	S.O. 1962, dated 22nd June, 1965.	Do.	Amendment to S.O. 4394, dated 28th December, 1964.
134.	S.O. 2047, dated 23rd June, 1965.	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.	Approval of the films specified therein.

कमर लिखे असाधारण राजपत्रों की प्रतियाँ प्रकाशन प्रबन्धक, सिविल लाइन्स, दिल्ली के नाम मांगपत्र भेजने पर भेज दी जाएंगी। मांगपत्र प्रबन्धक के पास इन राजपत्रों के जारी होने की तारीख से 10 दिन के भीतर पहुँच जाने चाहिए।

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

भाग II—खण्ड 3 उपखण्ड (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों और (संघ क्षेत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर) केन्द्रीय प्राधिकरणों द्वारा जारी किए गए विधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएँ।

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 19th June 1965

S.O. 2056.—In exercise of the powers conferred under entry 3(c) of Schedule I annexed to the Ministry of Home Affairs Notification No. 15/13/59(V)-P.IV, dated the 13th July, 1962 (GSR. 991, published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii), dated the 28th July, 1962), the Central Government is pleased to specify Shrimant Yuwaraj Nitranjan D. Pawar and Rajkumari Kalpanaraje D. Pawar, son and daughter respectively of the Chief of Surgana, Nasik, for the purpose of that entry and directs that the exemption shall be valid in respect of one .12 bore gun, one rifle and one pistol/revolver in each case.

[No. 16/4/65-P.IV.]

G. L. BAILUR, Under Secy.

गृह मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 19 जून, 1965

एस० नो० 2057.—गृह मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या 15/13/59-P-IV. दिनांक 13 जुलाई 1962 [भारत के राजपत्र, भाग II, खंड 3, उपखंड (II) दिनांक 28 जुलाई, 1962 में प्रकाशित सामान्य परिणियत नियम 991] के साथ लगी हुई पहली सूची की प्रविष्टि 3(ग) द्वारा दिए गए

अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, मुगुणा नासिक के शासक के सुपुत्र श्रीमंत यवराज नितरंजन डी० पवार और सुपुत्री राजकुमारी कल्पनाराजे डी० पवार को उक्त प्रविष्ट के लिए सहर्ष सूचित करती है तथा निर्देश देती है कि यह छूट प्रत्येक को एक, 12 बोर बन्दूक, एक राईफल तथा एक किस्तोस/रिवाल्वर के बारे में लागू होगी ।

[सं० 16/4/65-पी०-IV]

जी० एल० बैलूर,
अवर सचिव ।

New Delhi, the 28th June 1965

S.O. 2058.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 18 of the Central Reserve Police Force Act, 1949 (66 of 1949) and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Home Affairs No. F.9/14/64-Police II, dated the 16th March, 1965, the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Central Reserve Police Force Rules, 1955, namely:—

1. (1) These Rules may be called the Central Reserve Police Force (Third Amendment) Rules, 1965.

(2) They shall be deemed to have come into force on the 14th September, 1962.

2. In the Central Reserve Police Force Rules, 1955, in rule 94, in the Note under item (3) of clause (a),—

(i) for the words, brackets and figure "The grant of uniform allowance to the officers mentioned at item (3)" the words, brackets and figure "The initial grant of uniform allowance mentioned in item (3) to the officers mentioned in the said item" shall be substituted;

(ii) for the words, abbreviations and figures "the second instalment of Rs. 450, so that the deputationists permanently absorbed in the Central Reserve Police do not, in any case, get more than Rs. 900 as uniform allowance in a period, of ten years", the words, abbreviation and figures "the second instalment of Rs. 450". shall be substituted.

[No. F. 9/14/64-P.II.]

G. L. BAILUR. Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 22nd June 1965

S.O. 2059.—In pursuance of clause (1) of article 239 of the Constitution, the President hereby directs that subject to his control, the Chief Commissioner of the Union territory of Tripura shall, in relation to the said territory, exercise the powers of a State Government under section 126 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (5 of 1908).

[No. F. 2/3/65-UTI.]

S. C. PANDEY, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 22nd June 1965

S.O. 2060.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 and clause (5) of article 148 of the Constitution, and after consultation with the Comptroller and Auditor General in relation to persons serving in the Indian Audit and Accounts Department, the President hereby makes the following rules to amend the Central Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964, namely:—

1. These rules may be called the Central Civil Services (Conduct) First Amendment Rules, 1965.

2. In the Central Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964,—

- (i) in clause (i) of sub-rule (4) of rule 16, after the existing proviso, the following further proviso shall be inserted, namely:—

“Provided further that nothing in this sub-rule shall apply in respect of any transaction entered into by a Government servant with the previous sanction of the Government.”;

- (ii) in sub-rule (3) of rule 18, for the portion commencing with the words “transaction concerning movable property” and ending with the words “member of his family”, the words “transaction entered into by him either in his own name or in the name of a member of his family in respect of movable property” shall be substituted.

[No. 25/46/64-Ests(A).]

New Delhi, the 23rd June 1965

S.O. 2061.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1957, namely:—

1. These rules may be called the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Second Amendment Rules, 1965.

2. In the Schedule to the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1957, in Part I, after the existing entries, the following entry shall be inserted, namely:—

“35. Telegraph Traffic Service, Class I.”

[No. 7/4/65-Ests(A).]

HARISH CHANDRA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 26th June 1965

S.O. 2062.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25 of 1946), the Central Government hereby specifies the following offences and classes of offences in addition to those specified in the notifications of the Government of India in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Administrative Vigilance Division), Nos. 25/12/62-AVD.I, dated the 18th February, 1963, as amended by Notifications No. 25/3/60-AVD.II, dated the 1st April, 1964 and 25/9/64-AVD, dated the 1st September, 1964 and 228/1/65(1)-AVD.II dated 8th February, 1965 for the purpose of the said section, namely:—

- (i) Offences punishable under sections 13, 27 and 28 of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 (23 of 1940),
- (ii) Offences punishable under section 16 of the Prevention of Adulteration of Food Act, 1954 (37 of 1954).

[No. 228/5/65-AVD.II.]

ORDER

New Delhi, the 26th June 1965

S.O. 2063.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25 of 1946), the Central Government hereby extends the powers and jurisdiction of members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment to the States of Jammu and Kashmir and West Bengal, for the investigation of offences specified in the Schedule hereto annexed.

THE SCHEDULE

Offences punishable under sections 132, 133, 134, 135 and 136 of the Customs Act, 1962 (52 of 1962).

[No. 25/12/62-AVD.]

A. P. VEERA RAGHAVAN, Dy. Secy.

CABINET SECRETARIAT

(Department of Statistics)

New Delhi, the 26th June 1965

S.O. 2064.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 8 of the Indian Statistical Institute Act, 1959 (57 of 1959), the Central Government hereby appoints Dr. B. P. Adhikari of the Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta as Member of the Committee constituted by the notification of the Government of India in the Cabinet Secretariat (Department of Statistics) No. S.O. 1116, dated the 31st March, 1965 *vice* Shri S. Basu, and makes the following amendment in the said notification, namely:—

In the said notification, in the entries against serial No. 4, under the heading 'Member' for the entries "Shri S. Basu, Joint Secretary Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta", the entries "Dr. B. P. Adhikari, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta", shall be substituted.

[No. 10/12/64-Estt.III.]

M. BALAKRISHNA MENON, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 21st June 1965

S.O. 2065.—In pursuance of clause (a) of sub-section (1) read with sub-section (4) of section 8 of the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934 (2 of 1934), the Central Government has appointed Shri B. N. Adarkar as a Deputy Governor of the Reserve Bank of India for a period of five years with effect from the forenoon of the 16th June 1965.

[No. F.3(27)-BC/65.]

New Delhi, the 24th June 1965

S.O. 2066. Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 18th June, 1965.

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs	ASSETS	Rs
Capital paid up	5,00,00,000	Notes	28,47,18,000
		Rupee Coin	3,41,000
Reserve Fund	80,00,00,000	Small Coin	3,79,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	46,00,00,000	Bills purchased and discounted —	
		(a) Internal	
		(b) External	
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	9,00,00,000	(c) Government Treasury Bills	42,64,48,000
		Balances held Abroad*	9,47,39,000
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	10,00,00,000	Investments**	199,25,28,000
		Loans and Advances to:—	
		(i) Central Government	
		(ii) State Governments @	80,83,74,000

Deposits:—

Loans and Advances to :—

(a) Government :

(i) Scheduled Banks†	84,91,99,000
(ii) State Co-operative Banks††	128,08,73,000
(iii) Others	3,95,76,000

(i) Central Government 52,99,30,000

(ii) State Governments 14,79,58,000

(b) Banks :

(i) Scheduled Banks 98,28,26,000

(ii) State Co-operative Banks 4,06,92,000

(iii) Other Banks 3,21,000

(c) Others 181,19,30,000

Bills Payable 44,54,21,000

Other Liabilities 98,52,62,000

Rupees 684,43,40,000

Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—

(a) Loans and Advances to:—

(i) State Governments 30,01,99,000

(ii) State Co-operative Banks 10,69,25,000

(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks

(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures
Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit
(Stabilisation) Fund— 4,75 21,000

Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks

Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—

(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank 2,17,34,000

(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank

Other Assets 59,07,86,000

Rupees 684,43,40,000

*Includes Cash and Short-term Securities.

**Excluding investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

†† Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

† Includes Rs. 21,82,00,000 advanced to scheduled banks against usance bills under section 17(4)(c) of the R. B. I. Act.

†† Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 23rd day of June, 1965.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 18th day of June, 1965

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES		Rs.	Rs.	ASSETS		Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department				Gold Coin and Bullion :—			
Notes in circulation		28,47,18,000		(a) Held in India		133,75,66,000	
		2706,40,72,000		(b) Held outside India		..	
Total Notes issued			2734,87,90,000	Foreign Securities		70,00,13,000	
				TOTAL			203,75,79,000
				Rupee Coin			93,04,71,000
				Government of India Rupee Securities			2438,07,40,000
				Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper			..
TOTAL LIABILITIES			2734,87,90,000	TOTAL ASSETS			2734,87,90,000

Dated the 23rd day of June, 1965.

P. C. BHATTACHARYA,
Governor.

[No F. 3(2)-BC/65.]

R. K. SESHADRI, Director (Banking).

CORRIGENDUM

In the statement of the Affairs of Reserve Bank of India Banking Department as on the 28th May 1965 published in the Gazette of India dated the 12th June 1965, Part II, Section 3(ii) on page 2001, on the Assets side for the amount 2,66,34,000 against Notes read 52,66.34,000.

(Department of Economic Affairs)*New Delhi, the 24th June 1965*

S.O. 2067.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 of the Banking Companies Act, 1949 (10 of 1949) and Rule 16 of the Banking Companies Rules, 1949, the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of section 31 of the said Act and Rule 15 of the said Rules shall not apply to the Malnad Bank Ltd., Tarikere in so far as they relate to the publication of its balance sheet and profit and loss account for the year ended the 31st December 1964, together with the auditor's report, in a newspaper.

[No. F.15(10)-BC/65.]

R. N. P. SINHA, Under Secy

CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES**ESTATE DUTY***New Delhi, the 25th June 1965*

S.O. 2068.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the second proviso to Sub-Section (2) of Section 4 of the Estate Duty Act, 1953 (34 of 1953) and in supersession of its notification No. 14/F. No. 21/35/64-ED, dated the 11th May, 1964, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that every Income-tax Officer appointed to be an Assistant Controller and posted to the Estate Duty *cum* Income-tax Circle, Dehradun shall perform his functions as Assistant Controller in the said Circle to the exclusion of all other Assistant Controllers in respect of the estates of all deceased persons, who immediately before their death, were being or would have been assessed to income-tax, had they derived any taxable income in any Income-tax Circle, the headquarters of which lies within the revenue-districts of Dehradun, Meerut, Saharanpur, Muzaffarnagar, Mathura, Aligarh and Bulandshahr of the Uttar Pradesh State.

2. This notification shall be deemed to have come into force from the 21st June, 1965.

Explanatory Note

[This note does not form a part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory.]

This notification has become necessary due to the shifting of the headquarters of the Estate Duty *cum* Income-tax Circle, Meerut from Meerut to Dehradun.

[No. 12/F. No. 21/14/65-ED.]

P. G. GANDHI, Under Secy.

CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE**MANUFACTURED PRODUCTS***Baroda, the 11th June 1965*

S.O. 2069.—In exercise of the powers conferred upon me under rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I hereby delegate to Deputy Collector of Central Excise, Ahmedabad the powers for approval of manufacturing formulae under Rule 191-B of the Central Excise Rules, 1944.

[No. 2/65.]

D. R. KOHLI, Collector.

CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE**AMENDMENTS***Kanpur, the 26th May, 1965*

S.O. 2070.—1st Amendment to Notification No. 1/65 dated 7th February, 1965, for rule "50-A" insert "56A(2)"

[No. 1/65.]

VIPIN MANEKLAL, Collector.

MINISTRY OF STEEL AND MINES**(Department of Mines and Metals)***New Delhi, the 19th June 1965*

S.O. 2071.—Whereas in pursuance of the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Steel, Mines and Heavy Engineering (Department of Mines and Metals), S.O. No. 681, dated the 21st February, 1964, made under section 9 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), 429·77 acres of lands in villages Bishrampur, Bhilaikhurd and Barbaspur, Tehsil Kathghora, District Bilaspur, vested absolutely in the Central Government;

And whereas the amount of compensation payable under the said Act for the acquisition of the said lands could not be fixed by agreement with Budeshwar, Amritlal and Nanhak, sons of Sheonath of village Bishrampur, Tehsil Kathghora, District Bilaspur who had submitted a claim for payment of the compensation on the allegation that they were the persons interested in the compensation payable for the acquisition of the said lands;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 14 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957, the Central Government hereby constitutes a Tribunal consisting of Shri M. Z. Hasan, District & Sessions Judge, Bilaspur, for the determination of the amount of compensation.

[No. C2-22(14)/63 D.]

S.O. 2072.—Whereas in pursuance of the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Steel, Mines and Heavy Engineering (Department of Mines and Metals), S.O. No. 681, dated the 21st February, 1964, made under section 9 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), 429·77 acres of lands in villages Bishrampur, Bhilaikhurd and Barbaspur, Tehsil Kathghora, District Bilaspur, vested absolutely in the Central Government;

And whereas the amount of compensation payable under the said Act for the acquisition of the said lands could not be fixed by agreement with Sonsai, son of Nemsai, of village Korba, Tehsil Kathghora, District Bilaspur who had submitted a claim for payment of the compensation on the allegation that he was the person interested in the compensation payable for the acquisition of the said lands;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 14 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957, the Central Government hereby constitutes a Tribunal consisting of Shri M. Z. Hasan, District and Sessions Judge, Bilaspur, for the determination of the amount of compensation.

[No. C2-22(14)/63-A.]

S.O. 2073.—Whereas in pursuance of the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Steel, Mines and Heavy Engineering (Department of Mines and Metals), S.O. No. 681, dated the 21st February, 1964, made under section 9 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), 429·77 acres of lands in villages Bishrampur, Bhilaikhurd and Barbaspur, Tehsil Kathghora, District Bilaspur, vested absolutely in the Central Government;

And whereas the amount of compensation payable under the said Act for the acquisition of the said lands could not be fixed by agreement with Fanesh, son of Mohar Say of village Bishrampur, Tehsil Kathghora, District Bilaspur who had submitted a claim for payment of the compensation on the allegation that he was the person interested in the compensation payable for the acquisition of the said lands;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 14 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957, the Central Government hereby constitutes a Tribunal consisting of Shri M. Z. Hasan, District and Sessions Judge, Bilaspur, for the determination of the amount of compensation.

[No. C2-22(14)/63-B.]

S.O. 2074.—Whereas in pursuance of the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Steel, Mines and Heavy Engineering (Department of Mines and Metals), S.O. No. 681, dated the 21st February, 1964, made under section 9 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), 429·77 acres of lands in villages Bishrampur, Bhilaikhurd and Barbaspur, Tehsil Kathghora, District Bilaspur, vested absolutely in the Central Government;

And whereas the amount of compensation payable under the said Act for the acquisition of the said lands could not be fixed by agreement with Babulal, son of Umedilal, of village Korba, Tehsil Katghora, District Bilaspur who had submitted a claim for payment of the compensation on the allegation that he was the person interested in the compensation payable for the acquisition of the said lands;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 14 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957, the Central Government hereby constitutes a Tribunal consisting of Shri M. Z. Hasan, District and Sessions Judge, Bilaspur, for the determination of the amount of compensation.

[No. C2-22(14)/63-C.]

S.O. 2075.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Mines and Fuel, No. S.O. 2392 dated the 17th August, 1963, made under section 9 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), mining rights in respect of 379 acres of lands in villages Patratu and Sankul, police station Ramgarh, district Hazaribagh, vested absolutely in the Central Government;

And whereas Messrs. Karanpura Development Company Limited, Chartered Bank Buildings, Calcutta-1, had furnished claims for the payment of compensation for the acquisition of the said rights in respect of the above-mentioned lands before the competent authority;

And whereas the said claim was rejected by the competent authority;

And whereas the compensation for the acquisition of the mining rights in respect of the above-mentioned lands could not be fixed by agreement.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 14 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957, the Central Government hereby constitutes a Tribunal consisting of Shri R. P. Sinha, Additional Judicial Commissioner, Ranchi, for the determination of the amount of compensation, if any, payable to M/s. Karanpura Development Company Limited, Chartered Bank Buildings, Calcutta-1.

[No. C2-20(21)/62-A.]

S.O. 2076.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Mines and Fuel, No. S.O. 2392 dated the 17th August, 1963, made under section 9 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), mining rights in respect of 379 acres of lands in villages Patrathu and Sankul, police station Ramgarh, district Hazaribagh, vested absolutely in the Central Government;

And whereas Messrs South East Karanpura Coal Company Limited, Chartered Bank Buildings, Calcutta-1, had furnished claims for the payment of compensation for the acquisition of the said rights in respect of the above-mentioned lands before the competent authority;

And whereas the said claim was rejected by the competent authority;

And whereas the compensation for the acquisition of the mining rights in respect of the above-mentioned lands could not be fixed by agreement.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 14 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957, the Central Government hereby constitutes a Tribunal consisting of Shri R. P. Sinha, Additional Judicial Commissioner, Ranchi, for the determination of the amount of compensation, if any, payable to M/s. South East Karanpura Coal Company Limited, Chartered Bank Buildings, Calcutta-1.

[No. C2-20(21)/63-B.]

New Delhi, the 23rd June 1965

S.O. 2077.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Mines and Fuel S.O. No. 1970, dated the 3rd July, 1963, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), the Central Government gave notice of its intention to prospect for coal in lands measuring 2896.68 acres (approximately) of 1173.16 hectares (approximately) in the localities specified in the Schedule appended to that notification and reproduced in the Schedule appended hereto;

And whereas in respect of the said lands no notice under sub-section (1) of section 7 of the said Act has been given;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the said sub-section (1) of section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby specifies a further period of one year commencing from the 3rd July, 1965 as the period within which the Central Government may give notice of its intention to acquire the whole or any part of the said lands or of any rights in or over such lands.

SCHEDULE

Dr g. No. Rev/50/63

Dated : 5-5-1963

Sl. No.	Village	Thana & Sub-Divn.	Thana No.	Revenue Survey	Pargana	Distt. Area	Remarks
1.	Barjharan	Angul	5	62	Khambaklinga	Dhenkanal	Full
2.	Bethianali	"	4	63	"	"	"
3.	Natada	"	3	64	"	"	"
4.	Ambapal	"	1	65	"	"	"

Total Area : 2896.68 Acres (Approx) OR 1173.16 Hectares (Approx).

BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION:—

- A—B line passes along the Southern boundary of village Ambapal part Western boundary of village Natada and Western boundary of village Barjharan and Meets at Point 'B'.
- B—C line passes along the Southern boundary of village Barjharan and meets at point 'C'.
- C—A line passes along the Eastern boundary of village Barjharan; Eastern boundary of village Bethianali, Northern boundary of village Natada, Northern and Western boundary of village Ambapal (which is the part common boundary of Sub-division, Angul and Sub-division Talcher and meets at point 'A').

[No. C2-21(4)/63.]

S. KRISHNASWAMY, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS

New Delhi, the 22nd June 1965

S.O. 2078.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from the Ankleshwar oil-field Gujarat State to Baroda in Gujarat State, Pipelines should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission and that for the purpose of laying such pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in schedule annexed hereto;

2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the

Competent Authority at Elampceero 4th Floor, Sayaji Gunj, Opp. College, Lokmanya Tilak Road, Baroda in the Office of the Gujarat Pipeline Project, Oil and Natural Gas Commission. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

State—Gujarat	District—Broach	Taluka—Broach		
Village	S. No.	Acre	Guntha	Sq. Yds.
Rahadpur	44/1	1	35	103
	44/2			
	43	0	7	33
	29	0	3	87
	30A } and 30B }	0	14	66

[No. 31(38)/63-ONG(Broach).]

S.O. 2079.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 1411, dated the 17th April 1965 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the Competent Authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that Section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government vest on the date of publication of this declaration in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Case No. 10A/Jat

State—Bihar	District—Santhal Parganas	Thana—Jamtara	
Village with thana No.	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent in acre	
Kangoi No. 16 S.C. Mihi- jam.	1089	0.005	
	1170	0.022	
	1169	0.033	
	1167A	0.03	

[No. 31(47)/63-ONG-10A/JAT.]

New Delhi, the 23rd June, 1965

S.O. 2080.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum between Barauni Refinery in Bihar State to Haldia Port in Calcutta in West Bengal State, a pipeline should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., and that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land described in the Schedule annexed hereto.

2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the Competent Authority, Special Land Acquisition Officer, C/o Indian Oil Corporation Limited P.O. Hathidah, District Patna. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

State—Bihar	District—Shahabad	Thana—Shahpur
Village with Thana number	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent in acre
Kauriya T. No. 155	314	0.06

[No. 31/47/63-ONG-5/AR.]

New Delhi, the 24th June 1965

S.O. 2081.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum between Barauni Refinery in Bihar State and Kanpur in Uttar Pradesh State pipelines should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited and that for the purpose of laying such pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto;

2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the Competent Authority at 7/166, Swarupnagar, Kanpur. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

State—Uttar Pradesh	Tahsil—Sirathu	District—Allahabad
Village	Survey No.	Extent B.B.B.
Bidanpur	135	0 0 5

[No. 31/50/63-ONG/Vol. 2.]

*Corrigenda**New Delhi, the 24th June, 1965*

S.O. 2082.—In the schedules to the notifications of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 701 dated the 15th February, 1965 and S.O. No. 1035 dated the 26th March 1965 published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3, sub-section (ii) dated the 27th February 1965 and 3rd April 1965 respectively survey number 312 of Village Undera shall be deleted.

[No. 31(38)/63-ONG.]

S.O. 2083.—In the schedule to the notification of Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 1622, dated the 7th May 1965 published in the Gazette of India Part II section 3 sub-section (ii) dated the 22nd May, 1965,

At page 1848

For "Survey No. 226" read "Survey No. 236" of village Saresar.

[No. 31(50)/63-ONG-Vol. 4]

P. P. GUPTA, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF HEALTH*New Delhi, the 21st June 1965*

S.O. 2084.—Whereas the Governments of the States of Punjab and Rajasthan have, in pursuance of the powers conferred by clause (e) of sub-section (2) of section 3 of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954 (37 of 1954), re-nominated Dr. B. D. Narang, Public Analyst, Punjab and Dr. S. D. Arya, Deputy Director of Medical and Health Services (Administration), Rajasthan, Jaipur to be members representing the Governments of Punjab and Rajasthan respectively on the Central Committee for Food Standards:

And whereas in pursuance of the powers conferred by clause (i) of sub-section (2) of section 3 of the said Act, the Indian Standards Institution has nominated Shri P. H. Ramanathan, Head of the Agricultural and Food Products Department, Indian Standards Institution, to be a member representing the said institution on the Central Committee for Food Standards;

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the said Dr. B. D. Narang and Dr. S. D. Arya shall continue to be members of the Central Committee for Food Standards and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. S.R.O. 1236 dated the 1st June, 1955, namely:—

In the said notification, after the existing entries, the following entry shall be added, namely:—

<p>"28. Shri P. H. Ramanathan, Head of the Agricultural and Food Products Department, Indian Standards Institution.</p>	}	<p>being the representative nominated by the Indian Standards Institution under clause (i) of sub-section (2) of section 3."</p>
---	---	--

[No. F. 14-69/64-PHL&E.]

R. N. SINHA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 26th June 1965

S.O. 2085.—Whereas Dr. Satya Pal, L.D.Sc. (Hons.), 9FA/5, Section 22 A, Chandigarh, has been elected with effect from the 8th December, 1964, from among the dentists registered in Part A of the State Register of Dentists representing to Punjab, as a member of the Dental Council of India under clause (a) of section 3 of the Dentists Act, 1948 (16 of 1948);

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the powers conferred by section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. 3-2/62-MIL, dated the 17th October, 1962:—

In the said notification, under the heading "Elected under sub-section (a) of section 3", for the entry against serial No. 8, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Dr. Satya Pal, LDSc. (Hons.), 9FA/5, Section 22 A, Chandigarh."

[No. F. 3-3/65-MPT.]

S.O. 2086.—Whereas in pursuance of the provisions of clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Nursing Council Act, 1947 (48 of 1947), the following persons have been re-elected to be members of the Indian Nursing Council with effect from the 20th February, 1964, namely:—

- (1) Mrs. A. Gupta, College of Nursing, New Delhi.
- (2) Miss A. Kuruvilla, Dean, School of Nursing, Christian Medical College, Hospital, Vellore.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act the Central Government hereby directs that Mrs. A. Gupta, Principal, College of Nursing, New Delhi, and Miss A. Kuruvilla, Dean, School of Nursing, Christian Medical College Hospital, Vellore, shall with effect from the 20th December, 1964, continue to be members of the Indian Nursing Council constituted by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. F. 27-57/57-MII(B), dated the 1st December, 1958.

[No. F. 27-47/63-MPT.]

S.O. 2087.—Whereas Dr. V. M. Kher, LDSC, DDS, LDSRCS, Professor, Nair Hospital Dental College, Bombay, has been elected with effect from the 29th March, 1965, from the State of Maharashtra as a member of the Dental Council of India under clause (a) of section 3 of the Dentists Act, 1948 (16 of 1948);

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. F. 3-2/62-MII, dated the 17th October, 1962, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading "Elected under sub-section (a) of Section 3", after serial number 10 and the entry relating thereto, the following serial number and entry shall be inserted, namely:—

"11. Dr. V. M. Kher, LDSC, DDS, LDSRCS, Professor, Nair Hospital Dental College, Bombay".

[No. F. 3-2/65-MPT.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 22nd June 1965

S.O. 2088.—Whereas the Government of India in the Ministry of Health has, by notification No. 18-1/65-MPT, dated the 14th June, 1965 made in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), recognised the medical qualification 'M.D.' granted by the University of Alberta, Canada, for the purposes of the said Act;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the Central Government hereby specifies the period of two years with effect from the date of this order or so long as Dr. Ormond James Uptigrove who possesses the said qualification, continues to work in the Evangelical Alliance Mission, Amalner, District, Jalgaon (Maharashtra) to which he is attached for the time being for purposes of teaching, research or charitable work, whichever is shorter, as the period to which the medical practice of the said Dr. Ormond James Uptigrove shall be limited.

[No. F.18-1/65-MPT.]

New Delhi, the 24th June 1965

S.O. 2089.—Whereas the Government of India in the Ministry of Health has, by notification No. F. 17-2/60-MI, dated the 25th April, 1960 made in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), recognised the medical qualification "Doctor of Medicine" granted by the University of Pennsylvania, U.S.A. for the purposes of the said Act;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the Central Government hereby specified the period of two years with effect from the date of this order or so long as Dr. Halen C. Lalinsky who possesses the said qualification, continues to work in the Holy Family Hospital, Bandra, Bombay to

which she is attached for the time being for the purposes of teaching, research or charitable work, whichever is shorter, as the period to which the medical practice of the said Dr. Halen C. Lalinsky shall be limited.

[No. F. 18-11/65-MPT.]

S.O. 2090.—Whereas the Government of India in the Ministry of Health has, by notification No. 32-85/64-MPT, dated the 19th June, 1965, made in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), recognised the medical qualification "ARTS-EXAMEN" (Leiden University, Netherlands) for the purposes of the said Act;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby specifies the period of two years with effect from the date of this order or so long as Dr. A. H. Klokke who possesses the said qualification, continues to work in the Christian Medical and Hospital, Vellore to which he is attached for the time being for the purposes of teaching, research or charitable work, whichever is shorter, as the period to which the medical practice of the said Dr. A. H. Klokke shall be limited.

[No. F. 32-85/64-MPT.]

M. C. JAIN, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF CIVIL AVIATION

New Delhi, the 15th June 1965

S.O. 2091.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to Rule 13 of the Indian Aircraft Rules, 1937, the Director General of Civil Aviation is pleased to direct that the restrictions under the said Rule on photography from the ground shall not apply in the case of photography within the passenger lounges at Delhi (Palam) and Hyderabad (Begumpet) airports.

Photography will also be permitted in the civil enclaves at Delhi (Palam) and Hyderabad (Begumpet) airports, provided that photographs are taken with the concurrence of and under the supervision of the Aerodrome Officers.

[No. 9/34/63-IR.]

R. N. KATHJU,

Director General of Civil Aviation.

CENTRAL ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY

New Delhi, the 18th June 1965

S.O. 2092.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (6) of Section 3 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948, the Central Electricity Authority with the approval of the Government of India, hereby appoints Shri Z. S. Haque, Deputy Chief Engineer, Bihar State Electricity Board, as Member-Secretary, Eastern Regional Electricity Board, Patna with effect from the forenoon of the 1st June, 1965, until further orders.

[No. 5/8/64-CEA.]

M. M. DHAWAN, Under Secy.

for Chairman Central Electricity Authority.

MINISTRY OF REHABILITATION

(Office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner)

New Delhi, the 22nd June 1965

S.O. 2093.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (a) of sub-section (2) of Section 16 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954) the Central Government hereby appoints for the Faridabad Township, Shri O. P. Gupta, Managing Officer in the office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner, as Managing Officer for the custody,

management and disposal of compensation pool with effect from 14th May, 1966 (forenoon).

[No. XV (63) Prop (Adm)/57.]

KANWAR BAHADUR,
Settlement Commissioner (A) and *Ex-officio*
Dy. Secy.

(Office of the Regional Settlement Commissioner, Rajasthan)

Jaipur, the 14th June, 1965

S.O. 2094.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 34(3) of Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954, I, Gulab L. Ajwani, Regional Settlement Commissioner, Rajasthan, hereby delegate to Shri Sushil Chand Dewan, Asstt. Settlement Commissioner, the powers of Settlement Commissioner as vested in me under Section 21(2) of Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 to decide the question whether any sum is payable to the Government or the Custodian in respect of any property referred to in Section 21 (1) *ibid* with effect from the date he took over, *viz.*, the 7th of June, 1965.

[No. 1(32)/Policy/RSCR/65/17469.]

GULAB L. AJWANI,
Regional Settlement Commissioner,
Jaipur, Rajasthan.

(Office of the Regional Settlement Commissioner, Rajasthan)

Jaipur, the 16th June 1965

S.O. 2095.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 34(3) of Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954, I, I. L. Panjani, Regional Settlement Commissioner, Rajasthan, hereby delegate to Shri Sushil Chand Dewan, Asstt. Settlement Commissioner, the powers of Settlement Commissioner as vested in me under Section 21(2) of Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 to decide the question whether any sum is payable to the Government or the Custodian in respect of any property referred to in Section 21(1) *ibid* with immediate effect.

[No. 1(32)/Policy/RSCR/65/17863.]

I. L. PANJANI,
Regional Settlement Commissioner,
Jaipur, Rajasthan.

(Office of the Regional Settlement Commissioner)

ORDER

Bombay, the 21st June 1965

S.O. 2096.—In exercise of the powers conferred upon me by Sub-Section (3) of Section 34 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954, I, J. D. Jain, Regional Settlement Commissioner, Bombay, hereby delegate my powers of the Settlement Commissioner for hearing and deciding appeals, which are entertainable by me under Section 22 of the said Act, to Shri Tikamdas Gehimal, Assistant Settlement Commissioner.

[No. F. 29(2)/Admn/44181/65.]

J. D. JAIN, Regional Settlement Commissioner, Bombay.

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

New Delhi, the 19th June 1965

S.O. 2097.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 52 of the Delhi Development Act, 1957 (61 of 1957), the Delhi Development Authority hereby directs that the power exercisable by it under Clause (1) of sub-section (3) of Section 12 of the said Act to entertain, scrutinize and dispose of building applications from persons in abadis/areas situate within "development areas" as declared under sub-section (i) of Section 12 of the said Act and for which a re-development/regularisation plan is sanctioned by the Delhi Development Authority, may also be exercised by the Municipal Corporation of Delhi.

2. Provided that such applications will be entertained, scrutinised and disposed of only if applicants produce before the Municipal Corporation of Delhi a certificate to the effect that they have paid to the Authority such amount towards the cost of development of such abadis/areas as may be prescribed by the Authority.

3. It is also notified for general information that in "development areas" as declared under Section 12 of the Delhi Development Act, the Authority has adopted the building bye-laws of the local authority for regulating building construction.

[No. F.1(31)/63-GA.]

R. K. VAISH, Secy.

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SECURITY

New Delhi, the 21st June 1965

S.O. 2098.—In pursuance of clause (c) of sub-paragraph (1) of paragraph 4 of the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri A. K. Basu as a member of the Regional Committee for the State of West Bengal in the vacancy caused by the resignation of Shri G. Basu and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour No. S.R.O. 1278, dated the 20th June, 1953, namely:—

In the said notification, for entry (6), the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"(6) Shri A. K. Basu,
Messrs G. Basu and Company,
6, Hastings Street,
Calcutta-1"

[No. 12/6/64-PF.II.]

New Delhi, the 23rd June 1965

S.O. 2099.—Whereas the Central Government was satisfied that M/s. Mani Wood Industries was situated in Manipal (Udipi Taluk) area which was a sparse area (that is, an area whose insurable population was less than 500) in the district of South Kanara in the State of Mysore;

And, whereas by virtue of its location in a sparse area, the aforesaid factory was granted exemption from the payment of the employers' special contribution under section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) until enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of the Act in that area by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. 6(50)/61-HI, dated the 30th September, 1963;

And, whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the insurable population of the Manipal (Udipi Taluk) area in the district of South Kanara in the State of Mysore has now exceeded 500, and it is no longer a sparse area;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. 6(50)/61-HI dated the 30th September, 1963, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification, against serial No. 5, the entries 'Manipal (Udipi Taluk)' and 'M/s. Mani Wood Industries' occurring in columns 3 and 4 respectively shall be omitted.

[No. F.6/52/65-HI(I).]

New Delhi, the 24th June 1965

S.O. 2100.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri C. Subramaniam *vice* Shri Lakshmi Narayanan, to be an Inspector for the whole of the Union Territory of Pondicherry, for the period from the 10th May, 1965 to the 8th June, 1965, for the purposes of the said Act and of any scheme framed thereunder, in relation to any establishment belonging to, or under the control of the Central Government or in relation to any establishment connected with a railway company, a major port, a mine or an oilfield or a controlled industry.

[No. 20(72)/64-PF-I/L]

New Delhi, the 25th June 1965

S.O. 2101.—In pursuance of clauses (c) and (e) of sub-paragraph (1) of paragraph 4 of the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints the Vice President of the Bengal Chamber of Commerce and Industry as a member of the Regional Committee for the State of West Bengal and makes the following further amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour No. S.R.O. 1278 dated the 20th June, 1953, namely:—

In the said notification,—

(i) for entry (5), the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

“(5) The Vice President, The Bengal Chamber of Commerce and Industry
P. Box No. 280, Royal Exchange, Calcutta-1.”;

(ii) after entry (9), the following entries shall be inserted, namely:—

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| <p>(10) Shri M. Ghose, Labour Adviser, the Bengal Chamber of Commerce and Industry, Royal Exchange, Calcutta-1.</p> <p>(11) Shri Kali Mukherjee, President, Indian National Trade Union Congress, Bengal Branch, 177-B, Acharya Jagdish Bose Road, Calcutta-14.</p> | } | <p>Non-official members of the Central Board ordinarily resident in the State.</p> |
| <p>(12) Shri Gopeshwar, General Secretary, Asansol Iron and Steel Workers' Union, Bari Manzil, P.O. Burnpur, District Burdwan, West Bengal.”</p> | } | |

[No. 12/6/64-PF-II.]

DALJIT SINGH, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

New Delhi, the 22nd June 1965

S.O. 2102.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 and sub-rule (3) of rule 8 read with sub-rule 2 of rule 9 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri T. M. Ramachandran, as a member of the Advisory Panel of the said Board at Madras with immediate effect.

[No. 11/3/65-FC.]

G. S. GUPTA, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT

New Delhi, the 21st June 1965

S.O. 2103.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-sections (1) and (2) of section 8 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948, (9 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Dock Workers (Advisory Committee) Rules, 1962, namely:—

1. These rules may be called the Dock Workers (Advisory Committee) Amendment Rules, 1965.

2. In rule 3 of the Dock Workers (Advisory Committee) Rules, 1962,—

- (i) for the words “twenty-one”, the words “twenty-four” shall be substituted;
- (ii) in clause (1) (a) for the words “seven members” wherever they occur, the words “eight members” shall be substituted.
- (b) after sub clause (vii) the following sub clause shall be inserted, namely:—
“(viii) the Chairman, Mormugao Dock Labour Board”.

[No. 528/137/65-Fac.]

New Delhi, the 25th June 1965

S.O. 2104.—The following draft of a scheme further to amend the Mormugao Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1965, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), is published as required by the said sub-section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 23rd day of July, 1965;

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date so specified will be taken into consideration by the Central Government.

Draft Scheme

1. This Scheme may be called the Mormugao Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1965.

2. In the Mormugao Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1965 in sub-clause (2) of clause 7. after the words “training and welfare measures for dock workers” the words and brackets “(including assistance by way of grant of loan or otherwise to Co-operative Societies formed for the exclusive benefit of dock workers and the Staff of the Board)” shall be inserted.

[No. 519/56/65-Fac.]

S.O. 2105.—The following draft of a scheme further to amend the Vizagapatam Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1959, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), is published as required by the said sub-section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 23rd July, 1965.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date so specified will be taken into consideration by the Central Government.

Draft Scheme

1. This Scheme may be called the Vizagapatam Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1965.

2. In the Vizagapatam Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1959, in sub-clause (2) of clause 7. after the words “training and welfare measures for dock workers” the words and brackets “(including assistance by way of grant of loan or otherwise to Co-operative Societies formed for the exclusive benefit of dock workers and the Staff of the Board)” shall be inserted.

[No. 519/56/65-Fac.]

S.O. 2106.—The following draft of a scheme further to amend the Cochin Dock Workers (Regulation) of Employment Scheme, 1959, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), is published as required by the said sub-section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 23rd day of July, 1965;

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date so specified will be taken into consideration by the Central Government.

Draft Scheme

1. This Scheme may be called the Cochin Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1965.

2. In the Cochin Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1959, in sub-clause (2) of clause 7, after the words "training and welfare measures for dock workers" the words and brackets "(including assistance by way of grant of loan or otherwise to Co-operative Societies formed for the exclusive benefit of dock workers and the Staff of the Board)" shall be inserted.

[No. 519/56/65-Fac.]

S.O. 2107.—The following draft of a scheme further to amend the Madras Dock Workers (Regulation) of Employment Scheme, 1956, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), is published as required by the said sub-section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 23rd day of July, 1965;

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date so specified will be taken into consideration by the Central Government.

Draft Scheme

1. This Scheme may be called the Madras Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1965.

2. In the Madras Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956, in sub-clause (2) of clause 7, after the words "training and welfare measures for dock workers" the words and brackets "(including assistance by way of grant of loan or otherwise to Co-operative Societies formed for the exclusive benefit of dock workers and the Staff of the Board)" shall be inserted.

[No. 519/56/65-Fac.]

S.O. 2108.—The following draft of a scheme further to amend the Calcutta Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), is published as required by the said sub-section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 23rd day of July, 1965;

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date so specified will be taken into consideration by the Central Government.

Draft Scheme

1. This Scheme may be called the Calcutta Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1965.

2. In the Calcutta Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956, in sub-clause (2) of clause 7, after the words "training and welfare measures for dock workers" the words and brackets "(including assistance by way of grant of loan or otherwise to Co-operative Societies formed for the exclusive benefit of dock workers and the Staff of the Board)" shall be inserted.

[No. 519/56/65-Fac.]

S.O. 2109.—The following draft of a scheme further to amend the Bombay Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1958, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), is published as required by the said sub-section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 23rd day of July, 1965;

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date so specified will be taken into consideration by the Central Government.

Draft Scheme

1. This Scheme may be called the Bombay Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1965.

2. In the Bombay Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1958, in sub-clause (2) of clause 7, after the words "training and welfare measures for dock workers" the words and brackets "(including assistance by way of grant of loan or otherwise to Co-operative Societies formed for the exclusive benefit of dock workers and the Staff of the Board)" shall be inserted.

[No. 519/56/65-Fac.]

K. D. HAJELA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 22nd June 1965

S.O. 2110.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section 1 of section 5 of the Mines Act, 1952 (35 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri K. K. Gupta as Inspector of Mines subordinate to the Chief Inspector of Mines and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment S.O. 531 dated the 2nd March, 1961, namely:—

In the said notification, the following entry shall be added at the end, namely:—

"(73) Shri K. K. Gupta."

[No. 8/59/64-MI.]

B. K. SAKSENA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 22nd June 1965

S.O. 2111.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Bhutgoria Colliery of Messrs Equitable Coal Company Limited, Disergarh (Burdwan), and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 14th June 1965

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD

In the matter of a Reference under Section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 47)

REFERENCE No. 82 OF 1963

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Bhutgoria Colliery of Messrs. Equitable Coal Company Limited, Disergarh, Burdwan.

AND

Their Workmen.

PRESENT:

Sri Raj Kishore Prasad, M.A., B.L., *Presiding Officer.*

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers—Sarvashree S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate and S. K. Bhattacharyya, Labour Adviser.

For the Workmen—Sarvashree D. Narsingh, Advocate, and B. N. Sharma, President, Congress Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad, dated the 2nd June, 1965

AWARD

Ministry of Labour & Employment, Government of India, by its Order No. 2/49/63-LRII dated the 16th October, 1963, referred under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, an industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to the Bhutgoria Colliery of Messrs. Equitable Coal Company Limited, Disergarh, Burdwan, and their workmen in respect of the matter specified below to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the stoppage of work of Sarvashri Kali, Tiloo, Jogeshwar, Doleshwar, Kewal and Chattu Mian, as Timber Mazdoors, by the management of the said Bhutgoria Colliery with effect from the 13th May 1963 was justified. If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

2. Sarvashree D. Narsingh, Advocate, and B. N. Sharma, President, Congress Mazdoor Sangh, appeared for the workmen concerned, and, Sarvashree S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate, and, S. K. Bhattacharyya, Labour Adviser, Equitable Coal Co. Ltd., appeared for the employers.

3. Today (2nd June, 1965) the parties filed a compromise petition dated 2nd June, 1965 duly signed by the representatives of the respective parties to the dispute and prayed that an award in terms of it be made.

4. According to the agreement, the concerned workmen shall report for work in Bhonara/Bhonara South Colliery as Timber Mazdoors as before without prejudice to their wages and conditions of service, by the 14th June, 1965. The period from the 13th May 1963 to the date of resumption of work at Bhonara/Bhonara South Colliery will be treated as leave without pay and without any break in the continuity of their service. The management further agreed to provide for the present non-family accommodation to the workmen concerned at the place of transfer and also allowed them to retain their quarters at Bhutgoria Colliery for their family till family quarters are provided at the place of transfer. The parties agreed to bear their own costs of these proceedings.

5. I have read and considered the terms of the compromise and am satisfied that they are fair and reasonable and in the interest of the parties, and, therefore, I accept the same and record the compromise.

6. The aforesaid compromise is marked *Annexure 'A'* and an award in terms of it, as prayed for by the parties, is made and this compromise is made a part of it.

7. This is the award which I make and submit to the Government of India under section 15 of the Act.

Dhanbad,

Dated the 2nd June, 1965.

(Sd.) RAJ KISHORE PRASAD,

Presiding Officer,

Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad.

ANNEXURE 'A'

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
DHANBAD

REFERENCE NO. 82 OF 1963

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Bhutgoria Colliery

AND

Their Workmen.

The above reference has amicably been settled between the parties on the following terms:

(1) That the workmen concerned shall report for work in Bhonara/Bhonara South Colliery in the same capacity as Timber Mazdoors as in the Bhutgoria Colliery and without prejudice to their wages and other conditions of service.

(2) That the said workmen will so report to the Manager of the said colliery by 14th June 1965.

(3) That the period from 13th May 1963 till the date of the resumption of work at Bhonara/Bhonara South Colliery will be treated as leave without pay and without any break in the continuity of their service under the Company.

(4) That the management shall provide, for the present, non-family accommodation to the said workmen who will also be allowed to retain their present quarters at the Bhutgoria Colliery for their family pending the provision of family quarters at Bhonara/Bhonara South Colliery.

(5) That the parties shall bear their own costs of these proceedings.

It is therefore prayed that the above compromise may kindly be recorded and an award passed in terms thereof.

For the Workmen:

B. N. SHARMA,

President,

Congress Mazdoor Sangh.

D. NARASINGH,

Advocate.

For the Employers:

S. BHATTACHARYA,

Labour Adviser.

S. S. MUKHERJEE,

Advocate.

[No. 2/49/63/LR.II.]

New Delhi, the 23rd June 1965

S. O. 2112.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 51, dated the 28th December, 1964, the Central Government, being satisfied that the public interest so required, had declared the coal industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), for a further period of six months from the 8th January, 1965;

And, whereas the Central Government is of the opinion that public interest requires the extension of the said period by a further period of six months;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby declares the said industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act for a further period of six months from the 8th July, 1965.

[No. F. 1/42/65-LR. I.]

New Delhi, the 26th June 1965

S.O. 2113.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Kenduadih Colliery of Messrs East India Coal Company Limited, Jealgora P.O. Jealgora, District Dhanbad and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 19th June, 1965.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD

In the matter of a Reference under Sec. 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 47).

REFERENCE No. 65 OF 1964

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Kenduadih Colliery of Messrs. East Indian Coal Company Limited, Jealgora, Post Office Jealgora, District Dhanbad

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Sri Raj Kishore Prasad, M.A., B.L.,—*Presiding Officer.*

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers—Sarvashree D. Narsingh, Advocate, and J. N. P. Sahi, Assistant Chief Labour Officer.

For the Workmen—Sri S. D. Bakshi, workman himself in person.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad, dated the 8th June 1965

AWARD

Ministry of Labour and Employment, Government of India, by its Order No. 2/45/64-LR.II, dated the 6th June, 1964, referred under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, an industrial dispute existing between the

employers in relation to Kenduadih Colliery of M/s. East Indian Coal Co. Ltd. and their workmen in respect of the matters specified below to this Tribunal for adjudication:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of the Kenduadih Colliery of Messrs. East Indian Coal Company Limited, Post Office Jealgora (Dhanbad) was justified in terminating the services of Shri Sasadhar Bakshi, Clerk Grade II, with effect from the 26th July 1963; if not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. Sarvashree D. Narsingh, Advocate, and J. N. P. Sahi, Assistant Chief Labour Officer, appeared for the employers. The workman concerned is present himself to file the compromise. Today (8th June 1965), the above mentioned parties filed the compromise petition mentioning therein the terms of agreement. The agreement was signed by the workman concerned, Sri S. D. Bakshi, personally, and on behalf of the employers Sarvashree D. Narsingh, Advocate, and J. N. P. Sahi, Assistant Chief Labour Officer, signed the agreement, and, on behalf of the workman concerned, one Sri Gouri Shankar Ghosh, L.B. Clerk, witnessed the agreement and recognised the concerned workman in this dispute.

3. According to the agreement, the Company without prejudice to what is stated in its written statement dated 2nd April 1965, agreed to pay *ex-gratia* a sum of Rs. 1,000/- to Sri Bakshi, the workman concerned, as a gesture of goodwill and the workman concerned has agreed to accept it in full and final settlement of all his alleged claims in this reference. The said amount of Rs. 1,000/- will be paid to the workman within a week from the date of agreement dated 8th June 1965. In view of this agreement, the workman concerned does not claim for his reinstatement. The parties agreed to bear their own costs in these proceedings. The parties, therefore, prayed that an award be passed in the light of the above mentioned terms of the agreement.

4. I have read and considered the terms of the compromise and I think they are fair and reasonable and in the interest of the parties, and, therefore, I accept the same and record the compromise.

5. The aforesaid compromise is marked Annexure 'A' and an award in terms of it, as prayed for by the parties, is made and this compromise is made a part of the award.

6. This is the award which I make and submit to the Government of India under Section 15 of the Act.

Dhanbad,
8th June 1965.

(Sd.) RAJ KISHORE,
Presiding Officer.

Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad.

ANNEXURE 'A'

BEFORE THE HON'BLE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
DHANBAD

REFERENCE No. 65 OF 1964

BETWEEN

Employers in relation to the Kendwadih Colliery

AND

Shri S. D. Bakshi, an ex-workman of the Colliery.

Petition of Compromise

The parties aforesaid most respectfully beg to submit as under:—

1. No date has so far been fixed for the hearing of the aforesaid matter.
2. The parties, however, pray that this Hon'ble Tribunal may be graciously pleased to take up the matter on board today as the dispute relating to the termination of the services of Shri S. D. Bakshi has been amicably settled between the parties on terms hereinafter submitted.
3. Without prejudice to its submission in its written statement, dated 2nd April 1965, the management has agreed to pay an *ex-gratia* sum of Rs. 1000 (rupees one thousand only) to Sri Bakshi as a gesture of

goodwill in full and final settlement of his alleged claim against the management.

4. Sri Bakshi has agreed to accept the aforesaid payment in full and final settlement of all his alleged claims arising out of the present reference.
5. The management shall pay the said sum of Rs. 1,000 (rupees one thousand only) to Shri Bakshi within a week from the date in the office of the Chief Mining Engineer of the Company at Jealgora.
6. In the circumstances, Sri Bakshi does not press his claim for reinstatement as prayed in the workmen's written-statement.
7. The parties will bear their own costs of the present proceedings.
8. It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Tribunal may be graciously pleased to give its award in terms aforesaid.

I agree.

(Sd.) D. NARSINGH, Advocate.

(Sd.) JASADHAR BAKSHI

8-6-65.

(Sd.) J. N. P. SAHL.

Ex. Workman of Kendwadiah
Colliery.

Asst. Chief Labour Officer,
for the Employers.

I know and recognise Sri Sasadhar Bakshi the workman who has signed in my presence today in the Tribunal.

(Sd.) GANOURI SHANKAR GHOSH, L.B. Clerk, S.B. & Kend Colliery.

8-6-65.

This is my L.T.I. SASADHAR BAKSHI 8-6-65.

(Sd.) G. S. GHOSH.

Dhanbad, dated the 8th June, 1965.

[No. 2/45/64-LR.II.]

S.O. 2114.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 40 of the Defence of India Act, 1962 (51 of 1962), the Central Government hereby directs that the powers exercisable by it under rule 128 of the Defence of India Rules 1962, shall also be exercisable by the Governments of the States of Andhra Pradesh and Assam.

[No. F. 10/11/65-LRI.]

S.O. 2115.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the 6 and 7 Pits Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Jamadoba, Post Office Jealgora, District Dhanbad, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 21st June 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD

In the matter of a Reference under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947)

REFERENCE No. 64 of 1963

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the 6 and 7 Pits Colliery of Messrs. Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Jamadoba, Post Office Jealgora, District Dhanbad.

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri Raj Kishore Prasad, M.A., B.L., *Presiding Officer.*

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers—Sarvashree S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate, S. N. Singh, Legal Assistant, and L. B. Mahato, Clerk.

For the Workmen—Sarvashree D. Narsingh, Advocate, and B. N. Sharma, President, Congress Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad, dated the 27th May, 1965

AWARD

Ministry of Labour and Employment, Government of India, by its Order No. 2/37/63-I-LRIL, dated the 16th August, 1963, referred an industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to 6 and 7 Pits Colliery of Messrs. Tata Iron and Steel Co. Ltd., Jamadoba, and their workmen, to this Tribunal for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, in respect of the matters specified in the schedule attached to the order of reference which are reproduced below:

“Whether the dismissal of Shri Jhari Turi No. III, Ticket No. 43518, Surface Trolleyman, 6 and 7 Pits Colliery, with effect from the 5th July 1961 by the management of Messrs. Tata Iron and Steel Company Ltd. was justified; if not, to what relief is the workman entitled?”

2. The management filed its written statement on 3rd October 1963 in which its main defence was that the present reference being with regard to an individual dispute was invalid and as such, outside the purview of the Act. It was said, in support of this objection, that the Congress Mazdoor Sangh, which took up the dismissal of the workman concerned, Jhari Turi, No. III, is neither a recognised nor a representative union of the Colliery nor the workman concerned was a member of the Congress Mazdoor Sangh at any time prior to his dismissal and Sri B. N. Sharma in his personal capacity as a member of the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh and thereafter as President of the Congress Mazdoor Sangh sponsored the cause of Jhari Turi.

On merits, it was contended that the workman concerned behaved in a disorderly and indecent manner with Sri K. K. Sirkar M.W. 1 and, therefore, he was charge-sheeted and an enquiry was held and at the said enquiry he was found guilty of the misconduct and as such he was dismissed with effect from 5th July, 1961 and, therefore, he was entitled to no relief.

3. The workman also filed a written statement on 26th November 1963 through Sri B. N. Sharma, President of the Congress Mazdoor Sangh, in which the objection of the management that the present dispute was an individual dispute, was denied and it was also denied that Jhari Turi was not a member of the Congress Mazdoor Sangh.

On merits, it was contended that he was in the service of the Company for about 20 years and he was served with a charge sheet on 8th June 1961 on false and fabricated grounds and his dismissal was illegal, unjustified and due to unfair labour practice.

4. The case was taken up for hearing on 2nd April 1965 on which date Sarvashree D. Narsingh, Advocate, and, B. N. Sharma, appeared for the workman concerned, and Sarvashree S. N. Singh and L. B. Mahato, appeared for the management.

Documents were filed by both parties and with mutual consent they were taken in evidence and marked as exhibits. The documents filed by the management were marked as Exhibits M to M.25 and the document filed by the workman was marked Exhibit W. Thereafter, the case was adjourned to 26th May, 1965. The case was again taken up on 26th May 1965 when Sri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate, also appeared for the management. The workman filed further documents which were marked Exhibits W.1 to W.10. The management examined one witness, namely, Shri K. K. Sirkar, M.W.1, who could not be examined at the enquiry. The Union did not examine any witness.

Preliminary objection

5. Sri Mukherjee seriously pressed his preliminary objection taken also in the written statement regarding the competency of the reference on the ground that the present dispute was an individual dispute and not an industrial dispute within

the meaning of Section 2(k) of the Act, and, as such, the Tribunal had no jurisdiction to entertain the reference. In support of his contention he relied on the fact that the concerned workman was dismissed on 5th July 1961 but he became a member of the Congress Mazdoor Sangh on 10th April 1963, and not on 10th March 1963, as will appear from the date in Membership Register, at page 5, Exhibit W.10, in which in column 5 month '4' has been changed to '3'. It was, therefore, argued that these two facts clearly show that the concerned workman was not a member of the Congress Mazdoor Sangh on 5th July 1961, the date of his dismissal nor of any other union. It was also contended that it has also not been established that his cause has had been taken up by a considerable number of workmen and, therefore, his dismissal, which was an individual dispute remained an individual dispute and had not become an industrial dispute within the meaning of Sec. 2(k) of the Industrial Disputes Act. He relied on a decision of a learned Single Judge of the Andhra Pradesh High Court in *Padarthy Ratnam and Co., Guntur, vs. Industrial Tribunal and others*, 1958 (2) L.L.J. 290; on a decision of a Division Bench of the Kerala High Court in *Shamsuddin Vs. State of Kerala*, 1961 (I) L.L.J. 77; on a decision of a learned Single Judge of the Madras High Court in *Visalakshi Mills Vs. L. C. Mahu*, 1962 (II) L.L.J. 93, and on a decision of the Supreme Court in *Bombay Union of Journalists Vs. 'The Hindu'*, Bombay, 1961 (II) L.L.J. 436. Sri Mukherjee conceded that the question which has arisen in the present case is a question of first impression, in that, there was no decision of the Supreme Court on this point or even of any High Court directly on the point at issue, but, he submitted, the principles laid down in the aforesaid cases will be of assistance and guidance to the Tribunal in deciding the present case.

6. In reply to the objection raised by Sri Mukherjee, it was contended, on behalf of the concerned workman, by Sri Narsingh, that there was no merit in the preliminary objection, and in support of his contention he relied on the following facts with dates:

- 3.7.61: Application by the management earlier for approval under Section 33(2)(b) of the Act was filed.
- 5.7.61: The concerned workman was dismissed.
- 22.8.61: Complaint under Section 33A of the Act was made by the concerned workman.
- 10.3.63: The workman became a member of the Congress Mazdoor Sangh (Exhibit W.10).
- 19.3.63: The application under Section 33(2)(b) for approval was withdrawn by the management.
- 4.4.63: The complaint under Section 33A by the concerned workman was dismissed.
- 8.6.63: Award dismissing the workman's complaint, was published in the Gazette of India.
- 11.6.63: Representation was made by the President of the Congress Mazdoor Sangh to the Chief Labour Commissioner regarding the dismissal of the workman concerned.
- 16.8.63: The present reference was made to this Tribunal.

Relying on the above facts, it was argued by Sri Narsingh that till the complaint of the workman was dismissed and till the award dismissing the complaint was published in the Gazette of India on 8th June 1963 there was no question of sponsoring the dispute by the Union, and, therefore, the union having espoused the cause of the workman concerned on 11th June 1963, the individual dispute was then converted into an industrial dispute on 11th June 1963 within the meaning of Section 2(k) of the Act before the reference on 16th August 1963 and, as such, the present dispute was an industrial dispute and not an individual dispute. In support of his contention he relied on a decision of the Supreme Court in *Rohtak General Transport Vs. Its workmen*, 1962 (I) L.L.J. 634.

It was, further, contended by Sri Narsingh that it is at the date of reference that the dispute should have been taken up by a union and not necessarily earlier and in the present case the Union having sponsored the dispute on 11th June 1963, and the reference having been made later on 16th August 1963, the present dispute was an industrial dispute at the time of reference.

7. The sole question for determination, which is the crux of the matter, is, If an individual dispute is espoused by a Union, of which the workman became a member subsequent to his dismissal, because the Union itself came into existence subsequent to his dismissal, can the sponsoring of the dispute by such a Union

convert such an individual dispute into an industrial dispute within the meaning of Section 2(k) of the Act?

It was conceded by both parties that on this question there is no direct decision of any High Court or of the Supreme Court. Let us, therefore, see what guidance can be had from the decisions cited at the Bar.

8. (a) In 1958 (II) L.L.J. 290 a learned Single Judge of the *Andhra Pradesh High Court* held that it is no doubt true that a dispute simpliciter between an employer and a workman might develop into an industrial dispute within the meaning of Section 2(k) of the Act, if the cause is espoused by a Union of which he is a member; but the *membership of the Union which would give it jurisdiction to espouse his case, must be one anterior to the date of the dismissal, and not subsequent to it.* The primary requirement must be that on the date on which some disciplinary action is taken against the aggrieved workmen, their cause **must be espoused** either by a Union of which they are members or by a substantial section of the entire establishment where the dismissed workmen were formerly employed. It may be that a recognised part of the establishment also can take up their cause. But so long as a substantial section of the entire establishment or a recognised part of the establishment does not take up their cause, there can be no industrial dispute, the existence of which is a pre-requisite for the assumption of jurisdiction by the Tribunal.

(b) In 1961 (I) L.L.J. 77 a learned Single Judge of the *Kerala High Court* held that where it is not proved that the cause of the individual workers was taken up by an appreciable number of the workmen in the establishment or by the Union (consisting of members employed in similar establishments) *of which they were members at the time of dismissal, the reference of such dispute at the instance of such Union of which the concerned workmen became members after their dismissal could not be considered valid.* In that case, the case of three dismissed workmen employed in a commercial concern was taken up by the Union consisting of members employed in the commercial concern in the locality. But the concerned workmen were not members of such a Union on the date of their dismissal, but they became members of the Union subsequent to their dismissal. It was, therefore, held that the reference was invalid as the dispute did not assume the characteristic of an 'industrial dispute' as defined in Section 2(k) of the Act.

(c) In 1962 II L.L.J. 93, a learned Single Judge of the *Madras High Court* held that from the mere fact that a general union, at whose instance an industrial dispute concerning an individual workman is referred for adjudication, has on its roll a few of the workmen in the establishment as its members, it could not be assumed that the individual dispute was converted into a collective dispute. In such a case not only should it be proved that the workmen who are members of the general union formed a substantial or a considerable section of the workmen of the particular mills, but also that in order to vest the dispute with the character of an industrial dispute, those members participated in or acted either by a resolution or by other means and collectively supported on the date of the reference the demand or the cause of an individual dispute. In ascertaining whether there is such a collective expression and support, reference to workmen of other establishments who happened to be members of the general union will be irrelevant, for, it is one of the requisites of an industrial dispute, that the workmen who decide to support an individual dispute should be workmen of the employer concerned and should also have a direct and substantial interest in the dispute raised.

(d) In 1961 (II) L.L.J. 436, the *Supreme Court* held that:

"In each case in ascertaining whether an individual dispute has acquired the character of an industrial dispute the test is whether at the date of the reference the dispute was taken up as supported by the Union of the workmen of the employer against whom the dispute is raised by an individual workman or by an appreciable number of workmen."

It was further held that, as a subsequent withdrawal of support will not take away the jurisdiction of an industrial tribunal, on the same reasoning subsequent support could not convert what was an individual dispute at the time of reference into an industrial dispute. It was, therefore, held that if the dispute was in its inception an individual dispute and continued to be such till the date of the reference it could not be converted into an industrial dispute subsequent to the reference by workers interested in this dispute.

(e) In 1962 (I) L.L.J. 634, relied upon strongly by Sri Narsingh, it was held by the *Supreme Court* that the test to apply in each case would be: "Had the dispute

been sponsored by the workmen before it was referred for adjudication?". This is admittedly not the position here.

9. In the instant case, the admitted facts are that the workman was dismissed on 5th July 1961, when he was not a member of any Union or even of the Congress Mazdoor Sangh, which came into existence in this colliery in 1963. The concerned workman, Jhari Turi, became a member of this Union after his dismissal on 10th March 1963, according to the Union, but according to the management on 10th April 1963, because the word 4 has been changed to 3 in Exhibit W.10 as will appear even to the naked eyes. I would, therefore, take the date of Jhari Turi being a member of this Union to be 10th April 1963, as originally written in Exhibit W.10, which is quite clear from Column 5, Serial No. 33, at page 11, by a mere look at it with the naked eyes. The Congress Mazdoor Sangh came into existence, as admitted by M.W. 1, who is of course not a member of this Sangh, as mentioned above, in 1963. M.W. 1 further stated that he is a member of the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, which is affiliated to the INTUC and that Union was a recognised one. Admittedly also the cause of the workman concerned was not espoused by his co-workers, much less by a considerable number of them or by any of the workers at all. Admittedly the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh did not espouse the cause of the workman concerned. Admittedly also the dispute was not sponsored by the workmen themselves before it was referred for adjudication. This Congress Mazdoor Sangh, which was established in 1963, after about 2 years of the dismissal of the concerned workmen, and of which he became a member after 2 years of his dismissal, took up the cause of the workmen concerned on 11th June 1963, by sending a representation to the Chief Labour Commissioner, whereupon, two months later, a reference was made on 16th August 1963. *Prima facie*, therefore, the dismissal of the workman concerned was an individual dispute when he was dismissed on 5th July 1961 and continued to be an individual dispute till the date of the reference and it cannot be said to have assumed the character of an 'Industrial dispute' within the meaning of Section 2(k) of the Act, simply because two years later it was taken up by the Congress Mazdoor Sangh, which was not in existence at the time of his dismissal and of which he was not naturally a member at the time of his dismissal.

10. To get over this difficulty, Sri Narsingh relied on two facts, namely, (i) the application made by the management under Section 33(2)(b) of the Act for approval against the workman concerned on 3rd July 1961, which was subsequently withdrawn on 19th March 1963, and, (ii) the complaint filed by the workman concerned under Sec. 33A of the Act on 22nd August 1961 which was subsequently dismissed on 4th April 1964 and the award of which was published in the Gazette of India on 8th June 1963, as mentioned earlier also. The contention of Sri Narsingh was that during the pendency of this application and the complaint, the question of dismissal of the workman concerned was the subject matter of the application for approval and the complaint of the workman, and, therefore, it could not be espoused by any Union and, the union could possibly sponsor it only after the disposal of these matters and the final date is 8th June 1963, when the award in the complaint of the workman was published in the Gazette of India and, as such, taking up of the dispute on 11th June 1963, three days later, by the Union was perfectly in order, and this naturally converted the original individual dispute into an industrial dispute and the erstwhile individual dispute assumed the character of an industrial dispute as defined in Section 2(k) of the Act. To put it in other words, the contention of Sri Narsingh was that there was an individual dispute on 5th July 1961 and continued to be so till 8th June 1963, and, therefore, even the workman became a member of the Congress Mazdoor Sangh before 8th June 1963, but after his dismissal, whether it is on 10th March 1963 or 10th April 1963 it was immaterial, it was perfectly justified and the fact that the Union was not in existence at the time of the dismissal or the workman was not a member of this union at the date of his dismissal was of no legal consequence.

11. The contention of Sri Narsingh that the material date is 8th June 1963, when the award dismissing the complaint of the workman was published under Section 17 of the Act in the Gazette of India, and by which date his dismissal became an accomplished fact and then only it could be sponsored by the Union and, therefore, if the workman became its member after his dismissal but before 8th June 1963, it satisfied the requirement of law, in my opinion, is not a correct position in law. The date of dismissal remained the same, that is, 5th July 1961, and if the application for approval made by the management under Section 33(2)(b) would have been disallowed and approval refused or the complaint under Section 33A made by the complainant would have been allowed, then the dismissal would have been ineffective, but when that is not the case here the dismissal remained effective from 5th July 1961 and as such he should have been

a member of a union before his dismissal in order to give jurisdiction to this union to sponsor his cause and to convert his individual dispute into an industrial dispute. These pre-requisites and essential elements are absent here.

12. It is well settled that a dispute between a single workman and his employer cannot per se be an industrial dispute unless it is taken up by the Union of employees or number of workmen. A single employee's dispute might develop into an industrial dispute, when, as often happens, it is taken up by the trade union and there is a concerted demand by the employees for redress. The case must be espoused by the Union of employees or a considerable of them. In determining whether the dispute is an industrial dispute or otherwise the material time is when the act complained against has happened and not when the dispute is referred. The case of the individual workman must be sponsored by a union of which he was a member prior to his dismissal and not subsequent to it.

I, therefore, hold that the contention of Sri Narsingh that the crucial date is the date of reference when the dispute should have been taken up by the union and not necessarily earlier, irrespective of the fact that the workman became its member after his dismissal because the union came into existence after his dismissal, as here, is not correct in law and he has not cited any decision in support of it.

13. For the reasons given above, I hold that, on the facts here, it is plain that the dispute involved in the instant case is an 'individual dispute' and not an 'industrial dispute' within the meaning of Section 2(k) of the Act, and, therefore, the reference by the Central Government under Section 10(1)(d) of the Act in respect of this individual dispute is invalid and incompetent.

14. In view of the above decision, I do not think it is necessary to decide the case on merits and to express any opinion on merits, and, therefore, I do not do so.

15. The reference is, accordingly, answered in favour of the Company by holding that the reference is incompetent and, therefore, it is rejected.

16. This is the award which I make and submit to the Central Government under Section 15 of the Act.

DHANBAD,
The 27th May, 1965.

(Sd.) RAJ KISHORE PRASAD,
Presiding Officer,
Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad.
[No. 2/37/63/LRII.]

S.O. 2116.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 19th June, 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD

In the matter of a Reference under Sec. 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947).

REFERENCE No. 83 OF 1963

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs. Tata Iron and Steel Co. Ltd.

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri Raj Kishore Prasad, M.A., B.L., Presiding Officer.

Appearances:

For the Employers—Sri S. N. Singh, Legal Assistant.

For the Workmen—Sri B. N. Sharma, President, Congress Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE: Bihar.

Industry: Coal.

Dhanbad, dated the 9th June 1965

AWARD

Ministry of Labour and Employment, Government of India, by its Order No. 2/54/63-LRII-I and II, dated the 21st October, 1963, referred under Section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, an industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs. Tata Iron and Steel Co. Ltd. and their workmen in respect of the matters specified below to this Tribunal for adjudication.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the suspension of Shri Parma Singh, Miner, by the management of the Jamadoba Colliery, from 27th May, 1963, to 5th June, 1963 was justified; if not, to what relief is the workman entitled."

2. Sri S. N. Singh, Legal Assistant, appeared for the employers and Sri B. N. Sharma, President, Congress Mazdoor Sangh, appeared for the workman concerned.

3. Today (9th June 1965), the parties filed a compromise petition dated 9th June 1965 signed by the parties concerned or their representatives mentioning therein the terms of their agreement.

4. According to the terms of the settlement, the workman concerned Sri Parma Singh expressed his regret for his behaviour and, therefore, the period of his suspension, namely, from 27th May 1963 to 5th June 1963, undergone by Sri Parma Singh, will be treated as if he was laid-off during that period. The parties also agreed to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The parties prayed that an award in the above terms be made.

5. I have read and considered the terms of the compromise and I think they are fair and reasonable and in the interest of the parties, and, therefore, I accept the same and record the compromise.

6. The aforesaid compromise is marked Annexure 'A' and an award in terms of it, as prayed for by the parties, is made and this compromise is made a part of the award.

7. This is the award which I make and submit to the Government of India under Section 15 of the Act.

DHANBAD;

The 9th June, 1965.

RAJ KISHORE PRASAD,
Presiding Officer,Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad.
ANNEXURE 'A'BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT'S INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD

REFERENCE No. 83 OF 1963

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs. Tata Iron & Steel Co. Ltd., P.O. Jealgora, District Dhanbad.

AND

Their Workmen

That at the suggestion of the Hon'ble Tribunal and without prejudice to the respective contentions of the parties, the above reference has been amicably settled between the parties on the following terms:—

(1) That Shri Parma Singh expresses regret for his behaviour.

(2) That the period of suspension, viz., from 27th May 1963, to 5th June 1963, undergone by Shri Parma Singh will be treated as if he was laid-off during that period.

(3) That the Parties will bear their own respective costs.

Jamadoba,

Jealgora P.O.

Dhanbad District.

Dated 9th June 1965.

(Sd.) *Illegible.*

For Employers.

(Sd.) *Illegible.*

9-6-1965.

For Workman,

(Sd.) *Illegible.*

9-6-1965.

L.T.I. of Parma Singh.

RAJ KISHORE PRASAD,

Presiding Officers.

Central Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad.

[No. 2/54/63-LR.I.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 23rd June 1965

S.O. 2117.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Bhadrasai Manganese Mine of Messrs Orissa Minerals Development Company Limited, Barbil and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- (i) Whether the demand of the workmen employed in Bhandrasai Manganese Mine of Messrs Orissa Minerals Development Company Limited, (Post Office Barbil, District Keonjhar, Orissa) for increase of their existing wages is justified? If so, to what relief are they entitled and from what date?
- (ii) Whether the strike by the workmen employed in the said mine from the 11th March, 1965 to the date of its cessation is legal and justified? If so, to what relief, if any, are the workmen entitled?

[No. F. 26/26/65-LR-I.]

New Delhi, the 24th June, 1965

S.O. 2118.—In the Schedule to the order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 62 dated the 23rd December, 1964, in paragraph (1), after the words, "C.M.E.'s Office," the words "and the monthly paid staff employed in the colliery" shall be inserted.

[No. 6/89/64-LR-II.]

New Delhi, the 25th June 1965

S.O. 2119.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Ningha Colliery (P.O. Kalipahari, District Burdwan) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management in transferring Sri Ram Grihi Ram W.E. Oil Mazdoor of Ningha Colliery from surface to underground from 16th February, 1965, was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 6/52/65-LRII.]

H. C. MANGHANI, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 23rd June 1965

S.O. 2120.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to Shri Ambika Steam Navigation Company Ltd., Bombay and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 8th June 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY

REFERENCE No. C.G.I.T. 03 OF 1964

Employers in relation to Messrs Shri Ambika Steam Navigation Co. Limited, Bombay.

AND

Their Workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri Salim M. Merchant,—Presiding Officer.

For the Employers: Shri S. D. Narlman, Solicitor, of M/s. Mulla & Mulla & Craiglo Blunt & Caroo. Solicitors & Notaries.

For the workmen: Shri I. S. Sawant, Assistant Secretary, Transport and Dock Workers' Union, Bombay.

Dated at Bombay, this 5th day of June 1965

INDUSTRY: Shipping.

STATE: Maharashtra.

AWARD

1. The Central Government by the Ministry of Labour and Employment's Order No. 28/107/64-LRIV dated 5th November 1964 made in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section 1 of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947) was pleased to refer the industrial dispute between the parties abovenamed in respect of the subject matters specified in the following schedule to the said order to me for adjudication.

SCHEDULE

"How far the demands on the following matters made by the Transport and Dock Workers' Union, Bombay, on behalf of the seven workmen employed in Bombay docks by Messrs Shri Ambika Steam Navigation Co. Limited, Bombay, are justified and to what relief are these workmen entitled?

1. Pay Scale and fixation.
2. Acting Allowance.
3. Overtime Allowance.
4. Bonus.
5. Sick Leave.
6. Provident Fund and Gratuity.
7. Coincidence of weekly off with Dock holidays.
8. Arrears on account of overtime wages and work on holidays.

9. Supply of rain coat.
10. Travelling Allowance."

2. This company was registered under the Indian Companies Act, 1913 in or about 1942. By 1946, the Company owned three vessels all plying on the West Sea Coast of India. The company in its written statement has stated that shortly after commencement of its business the company had to face a financial crisis and incurred heavy loss when the Ambica Air Lines Co., in which the company had invested its funds, went into liquidation. Since then the company had been carrying on business with only one vessel which, according to the company, has resulted in uneconomic working of its business. During 1956 the company purchased the only vessel which it now owns viz. the S. S. Asha. It then sold the S. S. Ambica as it was an old ship and required extensive repairs. Even the S. S. Asha is an old ship which was built in 1928 and it required heavy repairs in 1957 for the extension of her license. This vessel is again due for special survey in the near future. The Company's estimate is that the ship will require about Rs. 4 lacs for repairs. The company in its written statement has stated that it has no fixed assets other than the said vessel S. S. Asha, the value of which according to the balance sheet as at 31st December 1963 was Rs. 6,35,977. The vessel is mortgaged to Messrs Rex Commercial Corporation Pvt. Ltd. as per particulars stated in para 1 of the company's written statement. The company has, therefore, in its written statement submitted that, "the demands made by the Union are not only unjustified and excessive but also, in the present desparate financial condition of the company, altogether unrealistic."

3. With regard to the staff covered by this reference, it is admitted that on the date the order of reference was made the employees concerned in the present dispute were only seven workmen comprising the dock staff of the company. Since, then 2 employees have left the service of the company and have taken up employment with the Bombay Dock Labour Board and therefore, there are only 5 workmen who are concerned in this reference. The Company has stated that S. S. Asha comes to port only about three times in two months being berthed at the docks on each visit for a period of 3 to 4 days only. The cargo unloaded from the vessel is cleared and delivery thereof completed within 3 or 4 days after the departure of the vessel. According to the Company the workmen only work for 12 to 14 days in a month and for the rest of the month they are completely idle, even though such idleness might be enforced idleness without any fault on their part.

4. The Union in its written statement has denied the correctness of the statements made by the company with regard to the nature of the work done by its dock employees and it has urged that they are entitled to the same terms and conditions of service as are granted by stevedore companies to their workmen. It has pointed out that Messrs. H. K. Joshi & Co. are the stovedors of the Ambica Steam Navigation Co. Ltd. and they being members of the Bombay Stevedore Association Limited are required to grant wages, dearness allowance and other conditions of service to their employees in accordance with the agreement between that Association and this Union. The Union has submitted that dock employees of this company had similar and identical work as is done by similar employees of the stevedore companies i.e. Messrs H. K. Joshi & Co. and therefore the employees of this company should be paid on the same basis as the employees of the stevedore companies are paid by their employers.

Demand No. 1: Pay Scale and fixation:

5. The union in its written statement has claimed the following scales of pay for the dock employees of this company:

1. Supervisors: Rs. 310—15—370—20—510—25—585
2. Asst. Supervisors: Rs. 210—10—250—12.50—300—15—375—20—455
3. Delivery Clerk, Tally Clerk and other Dock Clerks: Rs. 176—8—192—10—252—12.50—302—15—332.

In addition the union has claimed that the tally clerks should be given benefits of the piece rate system as applicable to tally clerks under the Dock Labour Board. The union has claimed for the Mukadam, the scale of pay of Rs. 120—4—140—5—175. The union has stated that these claims are inclusive of dearness allowance, but it has claimed in addition to these scales of pay dearness allowance at the same rates as is paid from time to time by the stevedore companies, the rate of which at present ranges between Rs. 12 to 25 for the clerical staff. However, at the hearing of this dispute before me on 31st May 1965, Shri I. S. Sawant for the Union stated that as the Union's position is that the workmen under reference in this dispute are covered by the terms of reference to the Central Wage Board for the Port & Dock Workers, he does not prosecute this demand in this reference.

Accordingly, there will be no award on this demand to which Shri Nariman representing the Company has no objection. I, therefore, dispose of demand No. 1 for pay scale and fixation in terms recorded above.

Demand No. 2: Officiating Allowance:

6. The Company has stated in its written statement and at the hearing that there is no instance of any employee in the docks officiating in an higher post. In the circumstances, there is no case for fixing an officiating allowance particularly as the demand for pay scales and their fixation has not been prosecuted under demand No. 1.

Demand No. 3: Overtime Allowance:

7. At the hearing of this dispute on 31st May 1965 parties were agreed that the company will pay overtime from 1st January 1964 at the rate prescribed by the Bombay Shops and Establishment Act as applicable to these workmen i.e. workmen working in the Bombay docks and that the company would be entitled to credit for the amount of overtime paid since 1st January 1964 and that the overtime allowance at the rates prescribed by the Bombay Shops and Establishments Act as applicable to dock workers shall be paid to all the workers who were in the service of the company upto 5th November 1964, and I award accordingly.

Demand No. 4: Bonus:

8. The Union in its written statement has demanded that each employee should be paid 4 months wages as bonus for each of the years 1961-62, 1962-63, and 1963-64. At the hearing of this dispute before me on 31st May 1965 the parties were agreed that the workmen covered by this reference will be paid bonus according to the Government Ordinance or Legislation relating to bonus if under the terms of the said Ordinance or Legislation it is payable and for the years for which such bonus might become payable, to be paid within one month from the date from which the Ordinance or Legislation come into operation. I, therefore, award accordingly.

Demand No. 5: Sick Leave:

9. The Union has demanded that the workmen should be granted 15 days sick leave with full wages per year of service. At the hearing on 31st August 1965 it was stated and admitted that the company is granting one month's privilege leave in a year with right to accumulate upto 60 days, provided leave applied for is due and refused. It was further stated and admitted that the company is granting 15 days' casual leave on full pay. At the hearing the parties were agreed that the provisions with regard to privilege leave shall continue as at present, as recorded above. With regard to casual leave by consent the casual leave was reduced to 10 days in the year. With regard to sick leave the company agreed to grant 7 day's sick leave in the year on full pay with right to accumulate upto 42 days, and I award accordingly.

Demand No. 6: Provident Fund and Gratuity:

10. The Union in its written statement has urged that the Company grants no retirement benefit, either by way of Provident Fund or gratuity. It has urged that a provision for retirement benefits has now been accepted as a necessary conditions of service and that under several awards of Industrial Tribunals, the benefits of both Provident Fund and Gratuity have been granted to employees of various industries.

11. The Company in its written statement has opposed the demand and has urged that the demand should be rejected, as this company, far from having any financial stability has been incurring heavy losses and there is no scope for any hope that its present financial difficulties are only temporary.

12. As I have indicated earlier there is no doubt that the company has no financial stability as it has been incurring losses from year to year. It can, therefore, be safely stated that the company lacks the necessary financial stability which would justify the demand for grant of either of these two retiral benefits. In the result, both these demands for Provident Fund and gratuity are rejected.

Demand No. 7 Coincidence of weekly off with dock holidays:

13. The Union in its written statement has stated that if a weekly off and dock holiday coincide, each employee should be granted one day's extra wages for the loss of the dock holiday. On dock holidays employees' salaries are not deducted

while on weekly off days their salaries are deducted. Therefore, if a weekly off and dock holiday coincide the workmen should not be deprived of the benefits of the paid dock holiday. It has therefore pleaded that it is necessary that such weekly off day should be first treated as a dock holiday, for which the workmen get their wages normally.

14. The company in its written statement has, in opposing this demand, stated that the employees enjoy Sunday as the fixed weekly off day and, therefore, there can be no question of the weekly day of rest coinciding with a dock holiday.

15. In my opinion, since the company has a fixed weekly day of rest on Sundays, there is no justification for this demand, which is, therefore, rejected.

Demand No. 8: Arrears on account of overtime wages and work on holidays:

18. On this demand it was agreed that if a workman who has worked on any holidays has not already been paid overtime for it, the company will pay the same to him at the rates of overtime mentioned in demand No. 2 and that such payment shall be made since 1st January 1964.

Demand No. 9 Supply of rain coat etc.:

17. It was ascertained at the hearing that the company is supplying either rain coats or umbrellas to its employees once in a year, and thereupon the union did not press the demand.

Demand No. 10: Travelling Allowance:

18. It was stated the hearing on behalf of the company that when the workmen are required to go to the head office of the company from the docks they are paid conveyance allowance. Thereupon, the union did not press this demand.

19. I therefore make my award in this dispute as stated above.

20. No order as to costs.

SALIM M. MERCHANT,
Presiding Officers,
[No. 28/107/64/LRIV.]

New Delhi, the 24th June, 1965

S.O. 2121.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Arbitrator in the industrial dispute between the Commissioners for the Port of Calcutta and their workmen represented by National Union of Port Trust Employees, Calcutta which was received by the Central Government on the 15th June 1965.

In the matter of an industrial dispute between the Commissioners for the Port of Calcutta—

Represented by Shri S. K. Ghosh, Deputy Chairman, Commissioners for the Port of Calcutta, 15 Strand Road, Calcutta-1.

AND

Their workmen

Represented by National Union of Port Trust Employees, 10, Mohan Chand Road, Calcutta-23.

AND

In the matter of an Arbitration Agreement between the said parties agreeing to refer the said dispute to me.

The Government Notification dated New Delhi 10th November, 1964, publishing the said agreement was forwarded to me on the same date. On receipt of the same I directed the parties to submit their written statements and the Union submitted their statement on 12-1-1965 and the Employers on 12-4-1965. The case was heard on 20-5-1965 and 27-5-1965.

Shri G. V. Karlekar, Chief Labour Officer assisted by Shri S. R. Bose, Executive Mechanical Engineer represented the Employers and Shri Santosh Kar represented

the Union. The documents referred to by the parties were exhibited and we inspected the Loco Shed on 18-5-1965.

These issues were:

- (1) Whether making of coal fire for lighting up of locomotives 'as well as lighting and building fire in fire boxes of locomotives and whether shifting locomotives inside the shed of the yards allotted to the loco shed including point setting, coupling etc. are part of the duties and responsibilities of the Cleaners or not.
- (2) If not, how many of the cleaners are involved in carrying out the above jobs and what relief, if any, they could be allowed.

Findings

The case for the Union in their written statement is that the workers were doing these works but they are jobs of other categories. Employers case in their written statement is that they were doing these jobs and it is part of their duties and responsibilities. I find that they were doing these jobs but for loco cleaners as the term signifies it is their extra duty which includes lighting and building fire in fire boxes of locomotives and shifting locomotives inside the shed of the yard attached to the loco shed including point setting, coupling etc. They are ancillary duties which cannot be put in by persons other than loco men and as such it will be termed as their special duty and responsibility for which I propose a special allowance for the do-ers by rotation so that every body may get equal benefit—the value of which calculated at 20 nP. per day. This special allowance will not count for the Provident Fund, Dearness Allowance or any other allowance. The allottees should do their works allotted to them in addition to other normal duties but those getting special allowance and other cleaners also should work in a team and may be asked to interchange works but those doing the special duty or part thereof will be entitled to the aforesaid special allowance.

This *ad interim* arrangement will, I hope, bring in industrial peace till the grade is revised by the Wage Board. This arrangement will not stand in the way of normal promotion of the disputants. Making of coal fire for lighting up of locomotives, cleaning of locomotives and ash pits in the shed and assisting in wash out of the locomotives are works of allied nature and do not involve more than ordinary intelligence and can be done by all the cleaners alike.

(2) Employers admit in their written statement that two men generally are engaged in the steam locomotives for work in connection with shifting of locomotives for lighting and building fire in fire boxes of engines. I think two more cleaners are required having regard to the number of engines and the responsibilities of the cleaners in the work of spreading coal in these engines. Hence the total number of men involved in the three shifts in these works will be 10 per day on the assumption that no lighting and building up of fire in fire boxes is required to be done in the morning shifts. If, however, these duties are required to be done in morning shift the total number of men involved will be 12.

Considering everything I direct that the award will take effect from the date of publication of this award and in giving effect to it the workers would fully co-operate with their employers and maintain industrial peace which is so essential now a days.

(Sd.) *Illegible.*

Arbitrator.

Dated, 11th June, 1965.

[No. 28/111/64/LRAIV.]

S.O. 2122.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to Kantilal Chaganlal Damania, Bombay and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 9th June 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY

REFERENCE CGIT No. 8 of 1965

Employers in relation to Messrs. Kantilal Chhaganlal Damania, Bombay,

AND

their workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri Salim M. Merchant, *Presiding Officer.*

APPEARANCES:

For the employers—Shri J. P. Shah, Advocate, instructed by Shri M. T. Shah, Partner, Messrs. Kantilal Chhaganlal Damania, Bombay.

For the workmen—Shri R. Pandit, Assistant Secretary, The Transport & Dock Workers' Union, Bombay.

Dated at Bombay this 7th day of June 1965.

INDUSTRY: Docks and Ports.

STATE: Maharashtra.

AWARD

1. The Central Government, by the Ministry of Labour & Employment's Order No. 28/136/64 LRIV dated 21st January, 1965, made in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (Act XIV of 1947), was pleased to refer the industrial dispute between the parties above-named in respect of the subject-matters specified in the following schedules to the said order, to me for adjudication.

SCHEDULE I

Whether the terms and conditions of service of the workmen shown in Schedule-II and employed by Messrs. Kantilal Chhaganlal Damania, Bombay in respect of all or any of the following matters require revision and, if so, to what extent and from which date?

1. Pay Scale
2. Fixation of pay
3. Dearness Allowance
4. Hours of work
5. Holidays
6. Gratuity
7. Leave
8. Bonus
9. Supply of raincoats
10. Overtime allowance
11. Promotion

SCHEDULE II

1. Shri Keshav B. Chogle
2. Shri Waman G. Gawde
3. Shri Narayan S. Thumbre
4. Shri Jaywant S. Dhotre
5. Shri Yeshwant B. Paekar
6. Shri Gajanan K. Patange
7. Shri Shanker G. Surve
8. Shri Shantaram A. Yadav
9. Shri Anant D. Parekar

2. After the Union had filed its written statement of claim dated 28th April 1965, at the hearing of this dispute the parties stated that they had settled the dispute as per the terms of a settlement entered into between them on 18th May 1965, in the presence of the Conciliation Officer (C) Bombay, and prayed for an award in terms thereof. A copy of the terms of settlement is annexed hereto and marked Annexure "A". As I am satisfied that this settlement, in the facts and circumstances of the case, is fair and reasonable, I accept the same and make an award in terms thereof.

3. It is also necessary to state that the management had issued retrenchment notices on the workmen concerned in this dispute dated 27th March 1965. Shri M. T. Shah, the partner of Messrs. Kantilal Chhaganlal Damania, however stated at today's hearing that now that a settlement had been reached in this dispute, the employers will not retrench any of its workmen, and that the retrenchment notices shall be treated as withdrawn by the management. The parties have desired that I should record this fact in my award.

4. No order as to costs.

Sd/- SALIM M. MERCHANT,
Presiding Officer.

ANNEXURE 'A'

Memorandum of Settlement

Names of the Parties

Shri M. T. Shah,—Partner.

Representing M/s. Kantilal Chhaganlal Damania, Bombay.

Shri R. Pandit.

Representing the Transport and Dock Workers' Union, Bombay.

Short Recital of the case

The Transport and Dock Workers' Union, Bombay, had served a charter of demands on M/s. Kantilal Chhaganlal Damania, Bombay putting forward 13 demands regarding service conditions. These were taken in conciliation by the Conciliation Officer (C)-I, Bombay, in December, 1964 and he had submitted a failure of Conciliation report on 23rd December, 1964 and the matter was referred for adjudication by the Government of India under their notification No. 28/136/64-LR. IV dated 21st January, 1965. 11 demands in respect of 9 workers mentioned in the Schedule to the notification were referred to the Industrial Tribunal Bombay.

The parties approached me to make further efforts to settle the dispute under reference. The matter was taken in conciliation on 18th May 1965 and the following settlement was reached.

Terms of Settlement

I. The parties will jointly approach the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay to give an Award in terms of the following settlement.

- II. (1) *Pay Scale*—The following pay scale will be introduced for the employees.
 Clerks—Rs. 100—5—175—6—241.
 Peons—Rs. 75—3—105—4—145.

NOTE.—The above pay scales are consolidated pay scales.

(2) *Fixation of Pay*—The employees shall be fixed in the new scale at the stage equal to or next above his basic pay as on 1st March, 1965. After this fixation they will get their usual annual increment from the same date.

(3) *Dearness Allowance*—Demand is not pressed as consolidated amount provided in item II(1) above.

(4) *Hours of work*—Actual hours of work for all workmen will be 8 hours for the day shift and 6 hours for the night shift (if worked). The actual timings will be fixed in consultation with the staff to suit the convenience of both the parties.

(5) *Holidays*—The Dock Clerks will be granted Dock holidays as declared by the Bombay Port Trust, Bombay. As regards the Custom Clerks they shall be granted paid holidays as declared by the Bombay Customs. If an employee is called upon to work on holidays, he shall be paid double the normal rate of wages for work on such holidays.

(6) *Gratuity*—

- | | |
|---|--|
| (i) On the death of an employee while in service of the employer. | 12 days' wages for each completed year of service subject to maximum of 15 months' wages to be paid to his heirs, executors or nominees. |
| (ii) Voluntary retirement or resignation of the employee after 7 years of continuous service. | 12 days' wages for each completed year of service subject to maximum of 15 months' wages. |
| (iii) Termination of service by the employer after 7 years' of continuous service. | 12 days' wages for each completed year of service subject to a maximum of 15 months' wages. |

NOTE.—1. While paying gratuity to an employee who has been dismissed for misconduct involving financial loss to the employer, the

management will be at liberty to deduct an amount of such financial loss from the gratuity payable to the employee.

2. Gratuity will be payable in addition to any other legal dues under the law such as Retrenchment Compensation.

(7) *Leave*

Privilege leave — 21 days for a year with a right to accumulate upto 63 days.

Casual leave — 7 days per year, not exceeding 3 days at a time.

Sick leave — 7 days in a year with a right to accumulate upto 42 days.

(8) *Bonus*—Two months' bonus per year will continue.

(9) *Supply of rain coats*—Demand is not pressed by the Union as umbrellas are being supplied by the management every year.

(10) *Overtime Allowance*—The employees will be paid overtime at double the normal rates of wages for work done by the employees beyond scheduled working hours.

(11) *Promotions*—Promotion to the higher posts is to be made amongst the existing staff on the basis of their merit-cum-seniority.

The Union does not press for the fixation of any service conditions for Shri Anant D. Parekar as he is the Manager of the Company.

III. The above settlement is without prejudice to any benefits admissible under any laws in force, or any award or recommendations of Wage Board if it is accepted by the Industry.

Witnesses

Signature of the Parties.

1. (Sd.) K. K. RAMAKRISHNAN

1. (Sd.) M. T. SHAH

2. (Sd.) K. BALAKRISHNAN

2. (Sd.) R. PANDIT

(Sd.) J. N. GUPTA

Conciliation Officer

(Central)-I, Bombay.

Bombay, dated the 17th May, 1965,

Filed before the Honourable Tribunal by both the Parties with a prayer that the Award in terms of the above settlement may be given.

For M/s. Kantilal C. Damania

(Sd.) SHAH

7-6-1965.

(Sd.) R. PANDIT,

7-6-65.

[No. 28/136/64/LRIV.]

New Delhi, the 26th June 1965

S.O. 2123.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Bombay Port Trust, Bombay and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 16th June 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT
BOMBAY

REFERENCE No. C.G.I.T. 1 OF 1963

Employers in relation to the Bombay Port Trust, Bombay

AND

The Bombay Port Trust Employees' Union.

PRESENT:

Shri Salim M. Merchant, *Presiding Officer.*

For the Bombay Port Trust—Shri R. K. Shetty, Deputy Legal Adviser.

*For the B.P.T. Employees' Union—Shri S. K. Shetye, Assistant Secretary,
B.P.T. Employees' Union.*

Bombay, dated this 14th day of June, 1965

INDUSTRY: Major Docks.

STATE: Maharashtra.

AWARD

The Central Government by the Ministry of Labour and Employment's Order No. 28/92/62/LR.IV, dated 31st December, 1962, made under sub-section 2 of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act XIV of 1947), upon a joint application of the parties abovenamed, was pleased to refer the industrial dispute between the parties abovenamed in respect of the following subject matter specified in the schedule to the said order, to me for adjudication:

"Whether the existing system of fixing the staggered weekly days of rest for the staff of the Electrical Establishments of the Engineering Department needs any change, and if so, in what respect".

2. It will be noticed that this reference is confined to the staff of the Electrical Establishments of the Engineering Department of the Bombay Port Trust.

3. By a later order No. 28/120/63/LR.IV, dated 29th May, 1964, made in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section 2 of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 also on the joint application of the parties abovenamed, the industrial dispute between the parties abovenamed, in respect of the following subject matters was, referred to me for adjudication:

"(1) Whether the system of fixing the staggered weekly days of rest for the staff employed in the Engineering Department needs any change and, if so, in what respect.

(2) Whether, having regard to the existing manner of granting the weekly days of rest and all the circumstances of the case the workmen are entitled to claim that they have worked on their weekly rest days and should therefore be paid any wages or compensation whatsoever and, if so, from what date?"

4. This reference has been numbered as Ref. No. CGIT 58 of 1964.

5. As it will be seen, the subject matter of the reference No. CGIT 58 of 1964 is larger in scope and includes the subject matter of the earlier reference i.e. CGIT 1 of 1963.

6. It was in view of this that on 14th June, 1965 Shri S. K. Shetye, Assistant Secretary, B.P.T. Employees' Union, appeared before me and filed a written application in which he has stated that, "since the terms of reference in Ref. No. CGIT 58 of 1964 relate to the whole Engineering Department of the Bombay Port Trust including their Electrical Establishments to which the earlier reference i.e. CGIT 1 of 1963 relates, the union begs to withdraw the latter reference (i.e. CGIT 1 of 1963)". Shri R. K. Shetty, Deputy Legal Adviser, Bombay Port Trust has no objection to this application being granted and he has endorsed the consent to the application for the withdrawal of Ref. No. CGIT 1 of 1963. A copy of the said application dated 14th June, 1965 of the Bombay Port Trust Employees' Union with Shri R. K. Shetty's endorsement thereon on behalf of the Bombay Port Trust, is attached hereto and marked Annexure "A".

7. As I am satisfied that the term of reference in CGIT 1 of 1963 is covered by the terms of reference in Ref. No. CGIT 58 of 1964 between the same parties and as the latter reference applies to the whole of the Engineering Department

of the Bombay Port Trust, including the Electrical Establishments to which Ref. No. CGIT 1 of 1963 relates, I allow the Bombay Port Trust Employees' Union to withdraw this reference which is consequently disposed of as withdrawn.

No order as to costs.

(Sd.) SALIM M. MERCHANT,
Presiding Officer.

BEFORE SHRI SALIM M. MERCHANT, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL

REFERENCE No. CGIT 1 OF 1963

AND

CGIT 58 OF 1964

BETWEEN

Employers in relation to the Bombay Port Trust

AND

The B.P.T. Employees' Union.

In the matter of dispute of modifying Staggering system of weekly day of rest, etc.

May It Please Your Honour.

The Bombay Port Trust Employees' Union begs to submit the following application for granting further six weeks' time for submitting statement of claim of the Union in Ref. CGIT 58 of 1964.

2. Further the Union submits that since the terms of reference in CGIT 58 of 1964 relates to the whole Engg. Department of the BPT including the Electrical Establishment to which the earlier Ref. No. CGIT 1 of 1963 relates the Union beg to withdraw the latter reference (i.e. CGIT 1 of 1963).

3. The Union deeply regrets the delay and inconvenience caused to the Tribunal and other parties to the dispute.

Bombay, dated 14th June, 1965.

For & on behalf of the BPT
Employees' Union

(Sd.) S. K. SHETTY, Asstt. Secretary.

1. No objection to Ref. No. CGIT 1 of 1963 being withdrawn for reasons stated in this application, which are correct.
2. No objection to the time being granted to the union for filing the written statement in Ref. No. CGIT 58 of 1964.

(Sd.) R. K. SHETTY,
14-6-65.

Dy. Legal Adviser,
Bombay Port Trust.

[No. 28/92/62/LR.IV.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 22nd June 1965

S.O. 2124.—Whereas by an Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour & Employment No. 28/60/65/LRIV, dated the 22nd June, 1965 an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Visakhapatnam Port Trust, Visakhapatnam and their workmen has been referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad, for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby prohibits the continuance of any strike in existence in connection with the said dispute.

[No. 28/60/65/LR.IV.]

S.O. 2125.—Whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Visakhapatnam Port Trust, Visakhapatnam, and their workmen represented by the Port Khalasis Union, Visakhapatnam and the Dock Workers Union, Visakhapatnam, in respect of matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Dr. Mir Siadat Ali Khan shall be the Presiding Officer with headquarters at Hyderabad and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

- (1) Whether the demand to up-grade all the existing "B" and "B-1" category workers (shore labour) to category "A" is justified? If not, what number should be up-graded as "A" category workers.
- (2) Whether the demand for grant of weekly day of rest with wages for all the workers is justified?
- (3) Having regard to the work-load, whether the demand for increase in the Gang strength of the shore Khalasis and proportionate increase in the piece-rate is justified?

[No. 28/60/65/LRIV.]

New Delhi, the 23rd June 1965

S.O. 2126.—Whereas the employers in relation to the Bombay Port Trust, Bombay, and their workmen represented by the Bombay Port Trust General Workers' Union, Bombay, have jointly applied to the Central Government under sub-section (2) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) for reference to a Tribunal of an industrial dispute that exists between them in respect of the matter set forth in the said application and reproduced in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the said Bombay Port Trust General Workers' Union, Bombay represents a majority of said workmen;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the crews of the Docks Flotilla of the Trustees' Port Department are entitled to claim payment of caisson allowance at the same rate as that applicable to the crews of the Alexandra Dock shore establishment. If so, whether such payment should be made with any retrospective effect and if so, from what date?

[No. 28/32/65/LRIV.]

New Delhi, the 26th June 1965

S.O. 2127.—Whereas the industrial dispute specified in the Schedule hereto annexed is pending before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bombay constituted by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment S.O. 172, dated the 16th January, 1960;

And whereas for the ends of justice the dispute should be disposed of without delay;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 33B and section 7A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby withdraws the proceedings in relation to the said dispute from the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bombay with Shri Salim M. Merchant as the Presiding Officer and constitutes an Industrial Tribunal at Bombay of which Shri M. R. Meher shall be the Presiding Officer and transfers the said dispute to it and directs that the Tribunal shall proceed with the said proceedings from the stage at which these are transferred and dispose of the same according to law.

SCHEDULE

S. No.	Parties to the dispute	No. of reference.	Date of reference
I.	Messrs Nathani steel Yard, Bombay and their workmen represented by the transport and Dock workers' Union, Bombay	S.O. 4225	4th December 1964

[No. 28/62/63/LR.IV.]

O. P. TALWAR, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE

(Department of Agriculture)

New Delhi, the 22nd June 1965

S.O. 2128.—The following draft of certain rules to amend the Ginger Grading and Marking Rules, 1964, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the power conferred by section 3 of the Agricultural Produce (Grading and Marking) Act, 1937 (1 of 1937) are published, as required by the said section, for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after 20th July 1965.

Any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date aforesaid will be considered by the Central Government.

Draft Rules

1. These rules may be called the Ginger Grading and Marking (Second Amendment) Rules, 1965.
2. In the Ginger Grading and Marking Rules, 1964 in Schedule VI and VIII in the fourth column under the sub-heading "Line as Cao % (by weight) maximum", for the entry "2.5", the entry "3.5" shall be substituted.

[No. F. 15-13/65-AM.]

SANTOKH SINGH, Under Secy.

उद्योग तथा संभरण मंत्रालय

(उद्योग विभाग)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 24 अप्रैल 1965

एस०ओ०-2129 का०आ०-1327 यतः भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्रालय के आदेश सं.का.आ. 1216 तारीख 25 अप्रैल, 1963 के साथ पठित भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व वाणिज्य

तथा उद्योग मंत्रालय के आदेश सं. का. आ. 867 तारीख 15 मई, 1958 के लिए, जिसके अर्न्तगत 14 मई, 1965 भी है, मैसर्स जेस्सोप एण्ड कं. लिमिटेड कलकत्ता के नाम से ज्ञात उपक्रम का प्रबन्ध, ऊपर वर्णित दूसरे आदेश में निर्दिष्ट प्रबन्ध-बोर्ड द्वारा, अपने हाथ में लिया गया है;

और अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि लोकहित में यह समीचीन है कि उक्त उपक्रम का प्रबन्ध दो वर्ष की अपर कालावधि के लिए उक्त बोर्ड द्वारा किया जाता रहे;

अतः उद्योग (विकास तथा विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1951 (1951 का 65) की धारा 18-क उपधारा (2) के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा निदेश देती है कि ऊपर वर्णित दूसरा आदेश, 15 मई, 1965 से दो वर्ष की अपर कालावधि के लिए प्रभावी बना रहेगा ।

[सं. 9(5) आई.ए-आई.जी(61)]

एस. रंगानाथन, सचिव ।

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND SUPPLY

(Deptt. of Industry)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 25th June 1965

S.O. 2130/IDRA/18G/65.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 18G of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951), the Central Government hereby make the following Order further to amend the Cement Control Order 1961, namely:—

1. This Order may be called the Cement Control (Eighth Amendment) Order 1965.
2. In the Schedule to the Cement Control Order, 1961, in the Table below paragraph (A) for the entry against Serial No. 15, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

TABLE

Name of Producer	Price per metric tonne	Date from which the price may be charged
1	2	3
Rs.		
"15. M/s. Shree Digvijay Cement Co. Ltd.		
Ex-Sikka Works	72.50	1-11-61
	75.25	1-6-63
	76.50	1-7-64
	80.50	1-6-65

1	2	3
Ex-Bombay Works	Rs.	Date of commencement of production.
	106.00	
	106.00*	1-7-62
	111.00*	1-6-63
	112.75*	1-7-64
	116.75*	1-6-65

*Exclusive of actual wharfage charges paid at Sikka on clinker.

[8-22/60-CEM.II.]

R. NATARAJAN, Under Secy.

(Department of Industry)

Standards (Institution Indian)

New Delhi, the 14th June 1965

S.O. 2131.— In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) of regulation 8 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, as amended in 1961, 1962 and 1964, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that forty licences, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been renewed.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Licence No. and Date	Period of From	Validity To	Name and Address of the Licensee	Article(s) Covered by the Licence	Relevant Indian Standard
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	CM/L-27 20-5-1957	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Electrical Manufacturing Co. Ltd., EMC Gardens, 136 Jesore Road, Calcutta-28.	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steelcored aluminium conductors for over-head power transmission purposes.	IS: 398—1961 Specification for Hard Drawn Stranded Aluminium and Steel-Cored Aluminium Conductors for Overhead Power Transmission Purposes (<i>Revised</i>)
2	CM/L-124 21-5-1959	1-6-65	31-5-66	The Western India Plywoods Ltd., P.O. Baliapatam, Cannanore Distt., Kerala State.	Tea-chest plywood panels	IS: 10—1953 Specification for Plywood Tea-Chests (<i>Revised</i>)
3	CM/L-188 27-4-1960	15-5-65	15-5-66	M/s. Bharat Starch & Chemicals Ltd., P.O. Yamuna Nagar, (Rly. Station Jagadhri), Distt. Ambala	(i) Maize starch for use in the cotton textile industry (ii) Edible Maize starch (corn flour)	(i) IS: 1184—1957 Specification for Maize Starch for use in the Cotton Textile Industry (ii) IS: 1005-1957 Specification for Edible Maize Starch (Corn Flour)
4	CM/L-189 27-4-1960	15-5-65	15-5-66	M/s. Gautam Electric Motors Pvt. Ltd., 42, Obhla Industrial Estate, New Delhi-20.	Three-phase induction motors up to 20 HP only with class 'A' and 'E' Insulation	IS: 325-1961 Specification for three Phase Induction Motor (<i>Second Revision</i>)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
5	CM/L-190 25-5-1960	1-6-65	31-5-66	The Indian Turpentine & Rosin Co. Ltd., P.O. Clutterbuckganj, Bareilly (U.P.)	Rosin (Gum Rosin)	IS: 553-1955 Specification for Rosin (gum Rosin)
6	CM/L-268 30-1-1961	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. All India Medical Corporation, Mulji Jetha Building, 185, Princess Street, Bombay-2	BHC water dispersible powder concentrates	IS: 562-1962 Specification for BHC Water Dispersible Powder Concentrates (Second Revision)
7	CM/L-295 28-4-1961	15-5-65	15-5-66	M/s. Bharat Pulverising Mills Pvt. Ltd., 38-A, Sayani Road, Bombay-28	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates	IS: 1310-1958 Specification for Endrin Emulsifiable Concentrates
8	CM/L-296 28-4-1961	15-5-65	15-5-66	M/s. Indian Rare Earths Ltd., Udyogmanal P.O., Alwaye (Kerala State)	Trisodium phosphate technical, decahydrate grade	IS: 573-1954 Specification for Trisodium Phosphate Technical
9	CM/L-298 28-4-1961	15-6-65	15-5-65	M/s. Sri Shunmaga Metal Works, Singiliyan davarparam, Tiruchirappalli	Wrought aluminium and aluminium alloy utensils	IS: 21-1959 Specification for Wrought Aluminium and Aluminium Alloys for Utensils (Second Revision)
10	CM/L-299 28-4-1961	15-5-65	15-5-66	M/s. J.B. Mangharam & Co., P.O. Residency, Gwalior	Biscuits (excluding wafer biscuits) of the following varieties : Honey Glen, glucose, Ginger Nuts, Arrowroot, Raspberry Cream, Petit Beurre, Nice, Vanilla Cream, Banana Cream, Energy Food, Salto, Custard Cream, Snaco, Royal Cream, Bourbon, Milkweat.	IS: 1011-1957 Specification for Biscuits (Excluding Wafer Biscuits)
11	CM/L-300 28-4-1961	15-5-65	15-5-66	M/s. New Digvijaysinhji Tin Factory Grain Market, Jamnagar.	18-litre square tins	IS: 916-1958 Specification for 18-Litre Square Tins
12	CM/L-301 17-5-1961	20-5-65	15-6-66	M/s. National Saw & Plywood Works, Makum Road, Udyog-nagar Tinsukia (Assam)	Tea-chest plywood panels	IS 10-1953 Specification for Plywood Tea-Chests (Revised)
13	CM/L-401 29-3-1962	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Ronald Armstrong, Causeway House, Colaba Causeway, Bombay-5	Tumbler switches, single pole, one way and two way type with moulded or porcelain base, capacity 5 amps, A.C.	IS: 1087-1957 Specification for Single Pole 5-Ampere Tumbler Switches for AC/DC

14	CM/L-408 27-4-1962	15-5-65	15-5-66	M/s. Eesh Works, XVII 3329, Ran- jit Nagar, New Delhi-12	Ink, drawing, waterproof, black	IS: 789-1955 Specification for Ink, Drawing, Waterproof, Black
15	CM/L-410 30-4-1962	15-5-65	15-5-66	M/s. Reock Industries Pvt. Ltd., 12-A, Agra Road, Vikhroli, Bom- bay-79, having their Registered Office at Sreeji Bhuvan, Lohar Street, Bombay-2.	Oil pressure lanterns	IS: 1384-1959 Specification for Oil Pressure Lanterns
16	CM/L-411 30-4-1962	15-5-65	15-5-66	M/s. Mysore Insecticides Co. Pvt. Ltd., 18, Vaidyanatha Muda- li Street, Tondiarpet, Madras-21 having their office at 31-A, North Beach Road, Madras-1	BHC water dispersible powder con- centrates	IS: 562-1962 Specification for BHC Water Dispersible Powder Concentrates (Second Revision)
17	CM/L-412 30-4-1962	15-5-65	15-5-66	M/s. Dalmia Iron & Steel Ltd., P. O. Suckchar, Distt. 24-Parganas, W. Bengal	Centrifugally casts (spun) iron pressure pipes for water, gas and sewage	IS: 1536-1960 Specification for Centrifugally Cast (Spun) Iron Pressure Pipes for Water, Gas and Sewage
18	CM/L-413 5-5-1962	15-5-65	15-5-66	M/s. Devidayal Cable Industries Pvt. Ltd., Pokhran Road, Maji- wada Village, Thana (Maharash- tra State), having their office at Gupta Mills Estate, Darukhana Bombay-10	Vulcanized rubber insulated (VIR) cables, TRS (tough rubber shea- thed) 250 and 660 volts grade taped/tuntaped, braided and compounded 250 and 660 volts grade, flame-retarding 250 and 660 volts grade, weather proof 250 volts grade and welding cables of 250 and 660 volts grade TRS (tough rubber shea- thed) flexible cords 250 volts grade	IS: 434 (Part I)-1964 Specification for Rubber-Insulated Cables and Flexible Cords for Electro Power and Lighting (For Working Voltages Up to and Including 11 kV) (Tentative)
19	CM/L-420 30-5-1962	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Hindustan National Glass Mfg. Co. Ltd., Guru Garden Road, Rishra, Distt. Hooghly having their head office at 2 Wellesley Place, Calcutta-1	Glass milk bottles	IS: 1392-1959 Specification for Glass Milk Bottles
20	CM/L-488 26-12-1962	16-5-65	15-5-66	M/s. Hindustan Chains Pvt. Ltd., G.T. Road., P.O. Pasonda, Ghaziabad	Bicycle chains	IS: 627-1961 Specification for Bicycle Chains (Revised).
21	CM/L-527 18-4-1963	15-5-65	15-5-68	M/s. Noble Paint & Varnish Com- pany Pvt. Ltd., Fergusson Road, Lower Parel, Bombay-13	(1) Enamel, brushing, exterior, type 1 (synthetic) (1) under- watering (2) finishing colour as required	IS: 520-1954 Specification for Enamels, Brushing Exterior Type 1 (Synthetic) (1) Undercoating (2) Finishing Colour as Required (Tentative)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
					(ii) Enamel, brushing, exterior type 2 (1) undercoating (2) finishing colour as required	IS : 522-1954 Specification for Enamel, Brushing, Exterior Type 2 (1) Undercoating, (2) Finishing Colour as Required <i>Tentative</i>
22	CM/L 529 19-4-1963	15-5-65	15-5-66	M/s Jaipur Maize Products Co., Jaipur West, Jaipur having their Head Office at Gehlot Bhavan, New Colony, Jaipur.	Flushing cisterns, high level, bell type for water closets and urinals	IS 774-1960 Specification for Flushing Cisterns for Water-Closets and Urinals (Valveless Syphonic Type) <i>(Revised)</i>
23	CM/L-530 29-4-1963	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. J.B. Norton & Sons Ltd., 50, Debendra Chandra Dey Road, Calcutta-15 having their Registered Office at Stephen House, 4, Dalhousie Square East, Calcutta-1.	Flushing Cisterns for Water Closets and Urinals (Valveless Siphonic Type) High Level only.	IS: 774-1960 Specification for Flushing Cisterns for Water Closets and Urinals (Valveless Siphonic Type) <i>(Revised)</i> .
24	CM/L-532 30-4-1963	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Hindustan Steel Ltd., Rourkela Steel Project, Rourkela Orissa having their Regd. Office at P.O. Hinoo, Ranchi.	Structural Steel (Fusion Welding Quality).	IS: 2062-1962 Specification for Structural Steel (Fusion Welding Quality).
25	CM/L-533 30-4-1963	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Brushware Ltd., M.G. Road, Kanpur.	Brushes, Paints & Varnishes, Flat.	IS: 384-1961 Specification for Brushes, Paints and Varnishes, Flat <i>(Revised)</i> .
26	CM/L-535 30-4-1963	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Power Cables Private Ltd., Vinhalwadi, Kalyan, (Maharashtra State).	PVC Cables only with Aluminium Conductors (250 and 650 Volts Grade).	(i) IS: 694 (Part I)-1964 Specification for PVC Insulated Cables (For Working Voltages Up to 1100 V) with copper conductors <i>(Revised)</i> . (ii) IS: 694 (Part II)-1964 Specification for PVC Insulated Cables (For Working Voltages Up to 1100 V) with Aluminium Conductors <i>(Revised)</i> .

27	CM/L-536 6-5-1963	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Tata-Fison Industries Limited, Pandit Motilal Nehru Road, Jamuna Kinara, Agra.	DDT Emulsifiable Concentrates	IS: 633-1956 Specification for DDT Emulsifiable Concentrates.
28	CM/L-537 9-5-1963	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Metropolitan Enterprises Pvt. Ltd., 28, Barrackpore Trunk Road, Calcutta-2.	Electric Ceiling fans with regulators 900mm and 1200 mm sweep, single phase AC, capacitor type 220/230 Volts.	IS: 374-1960 Specification for Electric Ceiling Fans and Regulators (Revised).
29	CM/L-652 28-4-1964	1-6-65	31-5-66	The Bharat Carbon and Ribbon Mfg. Co. Ltd., Plot No. 66A, Industrial Area, Faridabad Township (Punjab) having their office at N-75, Bombay Life Building, Connaught Circus, New Delhi.	(i) Ink, duplicating, all weather, black, for rotary type machines. (ii) Ink, duplicating, all weather, black for drum type machines.	IS: 1222-1957 Specification for Ink, Duplicating, All Weather, Black for Rotary Type Machines IS: 1333-1958 Specification for Ink, Duplicating, All Weather Black for Drum Type Machines.
30	CM/L-653 28-4-1964	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Anand Water Meter Mfg. Co., Palluruthy Industrial Area, Cochin-5.	Water meters (domestic type).	IS: 779-1961 Specification for Water Meters (Domestic Type) (Revised).
31	CM/L-656 29-4-1964	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Tensile Steel Ltd., Hirabaug, Vishwamitri Road, Baroda.	Plain hard-drawn steel wire for prestressed concrete	IS: 1785-1961 Specification for Plain Hard-Drawn Steel Wire for Prestressed Concrete.
32	CM/L-657 29-4-1964	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Croplife Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., 2/4 Central Park Jaddavpur, Calcutta-32 having their office at 27, Bantank Street, Calcutta-1.	BHC emulsifiable concentrates	IS: 632-1958 Specification for BHC Emulsifiable Concentrates (Revised).
33	CM/L-658 29-4-1964	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Annapurna Pulverising Mills, Industrial Estate, Ehuru, W.G. Dist. (A.P.).	DDT water dispersible powder concentrates.	IS: 565-1961 Specification for DDT Water Dispersible Powder Concentrates (Revised).
34	CM/L-659 29-4-1964	1-6-65	31-5-66	The Pilot Production-cum-Training Centre (Fractional Horse Power Unit), University of Roorkee, Roorkee.	Fractional horse power electric motors 1/2 HP single-phase capacitor start.	IS: 996-1959 Specification for Small AC and Universal Electric Motors with Class "A" and Indulation.
35	CM/L-660 29-4-1964	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Spartan Electricals, Bombay Dyeing Mills Compound, "J" Shed, Old Prabhadevi Road, Bombay-28.	Three-phase induction motors up to 10 HP only.	IS: 325-1961 Specification for Three-Phase Induction Motors (Second Revision).

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
36	CM/L-661 1-5-1964	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Hindustan Safety Glass Works Pvt., Ltd., Mahesh Mukherjee Feeder Road, Ariadah, 24 Parganas having their office at 7, Chittaranjan Avenue, Calcutta-13.	Laminated safety glass for land transport.	IS:2553-1964 Specification for Safety Glass for Land Transport.
37	CM/L-662 1-5-1964	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Universal Cables Ltd., Village Ghurdang, Tehsil Raghurajnagar, Distt. Satna, Satna (M.P.).	Paper-insulated lead sheathed cables (with aluminium conductors) for electricity supply up to 11 kv.	IS:692-1957 Specification for Paper-Insulated Lead-Sheathed Cables for Electricity Supply
38	CM/L-663 1-5-1964	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Industrial Cables (India) Ltd., Industrial Area, Rajpura (Punjab).	Paper-insulated lead sheathed cables (with aluminium conductors) for electricity supply of 1.1 kV and 11 kV.	IS:692-1957 Specification for Paper-Insulated Lead-Sheathed Cables for Electricity Supply.
39	CM/L-671 12-5-1964	1-6-65	31-5-68	M/s. Hindustan Steel Ltd. Durgapur Steel Plant, P.O. Durgapur-3, Distt. Burdwan having their Regd. Office at P.O. Hinoo, Ranchi.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)	IS:1977-1962 Specification for Structural Steel (Ordinary Quality).
40	CM/L-672 14-5-1964	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Hindustan Steel Ltd., Rourkela Steel Project Rourkela Orissa having their Regd. Office at P.O. Hinoo, Ranchi.	Structural Steel (Ordinary quality)	IS:1977-1962 Specification for Structural Steel (Ordinary Quality).

[No. MD/33 : 16/A.]

S.O. 2132 In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) of regulation 8 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that fourteen licences, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been granted authorizing the licensees to use the Standard Mark.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Licence No. and Date	Period of From	Validity To	Name and Address of the Licensee	Article/Process Covered by the Licence	Relevant Indian Standard
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	CM/L-1065 13-5-1965	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Ruby Rubber Works Ltd., Rubynagar Post Office, Vazha- pally East, Changanacherry, Kerala	Bicycle tyres.	IS : 2414-1963 Specification for Bicycle Tyres
2	CM/L-1066 14-5-1965	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Hanuman Engineering Works, Industrial Area, Aish- bagh, Lucknow.	Structural steel (standard quality) for the following sections only : (1) M. S. rounds up to 16 mm and over 28 mm dia. (2) M. S. squares up to 14 mm and over 28 mm square. (3) M.S. angles, flats etc. where the cross-sectional area of the sample does not exceed 200 square mm.	IS : 226-1962 Specification for Structural Steel (Standard Quality) (Third Revision)
3	CM/L-1067 14-5-1965	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Hanuman Engineering Works Industrial Area, Aishbagh, Lucknow.	Structural steel (ordinary quality) for the following sections only :— (1) M. S. rounds up to 16 mm and over 28 mm dia. (2) M.S. squares up to 14 mm and over 28 mm squares. (3) M.S. angles, flats etc. where the cross-sectional area of the sample does not exceed 200 square mm.	IS : 1977-1962 Specification for Structural Steel (Ordinary Quality)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
4	CM/L-1068 18-5-1965	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. Bhagsons Paints Industries (India), 16-A DLF Industrial Area, Najafgarh Road, New Delhi-15 having their office at Katra Baryan, Fatehpuri, Delhi-6.	Varnish, finishing, interior	IS : 337-1952 Specification for Varnish, Finishing, Interior.
5	CM/L-1069 26-5-1965	16-6-65	15-6-66	M/s. Bharat Iron and Steel Industries, Agra Road, Bhandup, Bombay-78	Structural steel (standard quality)	IS : 226-1962 Specification for Structural Steel (Standard Quality) (Third Revision)
6	CM/L-1070 26-5-1965	16-6-65	15-6-66	M/s. Bharat Iron and Steel Industries, Agra Road, Bhandup, Bombay-78	Structural steel (ordinary quality)	IS : 1977-1962 Specification for Structural Steel (Ordinary Quality)
7	CM/L-1071 26-5-1965	16-6-65	15-6-66	M/s. Sirdar Iron and Steel Mills, Agra Road, Vikhroli, Bombay-83	Structural steel (standard quality)	IS : 226-1962 Specification for Structural Steel (Standard Quality) (Third Revision)
8	CM/L-1072 26-5-1965	16-6-65	15-6-66	M/s. Sirdar Iron and Steel Mills, Agra Road, Vikhroli, Bombay-83	Structural steel (ordinary quality)	IS : 1977-1962 Specification for Structural Steel (Ordinary Quality)
9	CM/L-1073 26-5-1965	1-6-65	31-5-66	M/s. R. M. Chatterjee Iron Foundry Private Ltd., 63 Sitanath Bose Lane, Salkia, Howrah.	Cast iron flushing cisterns (curved siphonic type) high level, 15 litre capacity.	IS : 774-1964 Specification for Flushing Cisterns for Water Closets and Urinals (Valveless Siphonic Type) (Second Revision)
10	CM/L-1074 26-5-1965	16-6-65	15-6-66	M/s. Hindustan Tin Works Private Ltd., Grand Trunk Road, Ghaziabad.	Round vanaspati tins.	IS : 1413-1959 Specification for Round Vanaspati Tins.
11	CM/L-1075 26-5-1965	16-6-65	15-6-66	M/s. Clive Mills Co. Ltd., 43/1 Garden Reach Road, Calcutta-44	Jute hessian.	IS : 2818-19 64 Specification for Indian Hessian
12	CM/L-1076 26-5-1965	16-6-65	15-6-66	M/s. Clive Mills Co. Ltd., 43/1 Garden Reach Road, Calcutta-44	Jute Sackings.	IS : 1943-1964 Specification for A-Twill Jute Bags (Revised) IS : 2874-1964 Specification for Heavy Cee Jute Bags. IS : 2875-1964 Specification for Jute Corn Sacks.

13	CM/L-1077 27-5-1965	16-6-65	15-6-66	M/s. Howrah Light Casting Co. Private Ltd., 74 Benaras Road, Howrah.	Sluice valves for water works purposes * (Inside screw non-rising spindle type) Class 1 and Class 2, all sizes.	IS : 780-1963 Specification for Sluice Valves Up to 300 mm size for Water Works Purposes (Inside Screw Non-Rising Spindle Type) (<i>Revised</i>)
14	CM/L-1078 31-5-1965	16-6-65	15-6-66	M/s. Shree Hanuman Industries, 65/A, G.T. Road, Liluah having their Regd. Office at 178, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Calcutta-7.	Cast iron flushing cisterns (bell type (high level, 15 litre capacity.	IS : 774-1964 Specification for Flushing Cisterns for Water Closets and Urinals (Valveless) Siphonic Type) (<i>Second Revision</i>)

[No. MD/33 : 16.]

New Delhi the 18th June, 1965

S.O. 2133—In pursuance of regulation 4 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, as amended in 1961, 1962 and 1964, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that amendment(s) to the Indian Standard(s), given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been issued under the powers conferred by sub-regulation (1) of regulation 3 of the said regulations.

THE SCHEDULE

Serial No.	No. and title of the Indian Standard amended	No. and Date of Gazette Notification in which the establishment of the Indian Standard was notified	No. and Date of the Amendment	Brief particulars of the Amendment	Date from which the amendment shall have effect
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	IS : 210-1962 Specification for Grey Iron Castings (<i>Revised</i>).	S.O. 242 dated January 1963.	26	No. 1, January, 1965. Clause 0.3 has been amended.	Immediate effect.
2	IS : 409-1952 Specification for Grease, S.No. 3.	S.R.O. 658, dated March, 1955.	26	No. 1, January, 1965. The existing values appearing in the standard have been substituted by metric values.	
3	IS : 436-1953 Methods for Sampling of Coal and Coke (<i>Tentative</i>).	S.R.O. 658, dated March, 1955.	26	No. 3, March, 1965. The portion dealing with sampling of coal has been separately published as IS : 436 (Part-I)—1964 and the existing standard has been redesignated as IS : 436 (Part-II)—1953 with necessary amendments.	
4	IS : 458-1961 Specification for Concrete Pipes (With and Without Reinforcement) (<i>Revised</i>).	S.O. 1856 dated June, 1962.	16	No. 1, January, 1965. Page 5, Table II, first entry under col. 8—Substitute '0.83' for '0.863'.	Immediate effect.
5	IS : 585-1962 Voltages and Frequency for AC Transmission and Distribution Systems (<i>Revised</i>).	S.O. 1998 dated June, 1962.	30	No. 1, February 1965. Clause 4.1 and 6.2 have been amended.	
6	IS : 721-1955 Specification for Grease, S. Soft, LOCO.	S.R.O. 1033, dated 5 May, 1956.		No. 1, February 1965. The existing values appearing in the standard have been substituted by metric values.	
7	IS : 951-1960 Specification for Combined Foam and CO ₂ Crash Tender.	S.O. 2760 dated November, 1961.	25	No. 1, February 1965. (i) A new sub-clause 2.3.1 has been added. (ii) Sub-clause 4.2.4 has been substituted by a new one. (iii) Sub-clause 4.4.1 has been amended.	
8	IS : 1159-1957 Specification for Baking Powder.	S.O. 1349 dated July, 1958.	12	No. 1, February 1965. Appendix A has been substituted by a new one.	

9	IS : 1161-1963 Specification for Steel Tubes for Structural Purposes (<i>Revised</i>).	S.O. 280, dated January 1964.	25	No. 1, January 1965.	Tables IA, IB and IIA have been amended.
10	IS : 1255-1958 Code of Practice for Installation and Maintenance of Paper-Insulated Power Cables (up to and including 33 kV)	S. O. 74 dated January 1960.	9	No. 1, March, 1965.	The existing values appearing in the standard have been substituted by metric values.
11	IS : 1276-1958 Specification for Grease, S. No. 2.	S. O. 761 dated April 1959.	11	No. 1, February 1965.	The existing values appearing in the standard have been substituted by metric values.
12	IS : 1343-1960 Code of Practice for Prestressed Concrete.	S.O. 224, dated January, 1961.	28	No. 1, March, 1965.	(i) Sub-sub-clauses 6.6.2.5, 6.9.2.1 and 6.9.2.2 have been substituted by new ones. (ii) A new sub-sub-clause 6.9.2.3 has been added. (iii) Sub-clause 6.9.4 has been substituted by a new one.
13	IS : 1466-1960 Specification for Ferro Vanadium.	S.O. 3059, dated December, 1960.	24	No. 2, February 1965.	} Clause 3.1 has been substituted by a new one.
14	IS : 1467-1960 Specification for Ferro Tungsten.	S.O. 2084, dated August, 1960.	27	No. 2, February 1965.	
15	IS : 1479 (Part II)—1961 Methods of Test for Dairy Industry Part II Chemical Analysis of Milk.	S.O. 2698, dated September, 1962.	1	No. 1, February 1965.	Sub-clause 5.2.3. has been substituted by a new one.
16	IS : 1537-1960 Specification for Vertically Cast Iron Pressure Pipes for Water Gas and Sewage.	S.O. 100, dated January, 1961.	14	No. 1, February 1965.	Item 4 has been substituted by a new one.
17	IS : 1604-1960 Specification for Aviation Gasoline.	S.O. 100, dated January 1961	14	No. 1, April, 1965.	Clauses 0.4 and 4.3 Tables II and III have been amended.
18	IS : 1613-1960 Specification for Milk Bottle Crates.	S.O. 2960 dated Dec. 1960.	10	No. 1, February 1965.	Figures 1 and 2 and Table I have been substituted by new ones.
19	IS : 1752-1961 Specification for Coal Dust for use in Cast Iron Foundry.	S.O. 2760, dated November, 1961.	25	No. 1, February 1965.	Table II has been amended.
20	IS : 1852-1962 Specification for Rolling and Cutting Tolerances for Hot-Rolled Steel Products.	S.O. 2144 dated July, 1962.	14	No. 2, February 1965.	Sub-clauses 4.1.1 & 4.2.1 have been substituted by new ones and a note has been added under sub-clause 4.3.4.
21	IS : 1929-1961 Specification for Rivets for General Purposes (12 to 48 mm Diameter)	S. O. 553 dated 2 March 1963.		No. 1 February 1965.	Clause 8.1 has been substituted by a new one.
22	IS : 2386-1963 Specification for Carriers and Bases Used in Rewirable Type Electric Fuses up to 650 Volts (<i>Revised</i>).	S. O. 2370 dated August 1963.	24	No. 1, July 1964.	A new sub-clause 7.1.3 and a new Appendix C have been added.

Immediate effect.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
23	IS : 2089-1962 Specification for Proofed Paulins (Tarpaulins).	Common S. O. 3881 dated December, 1962.	29, No. 1, February 1965.	(i) Page 5, Table I, col. 2, item (iv) Substitute 'Min' for 'Max'. (ii) Clause 5.2, line 2—Add the words 'or hook' after the words 'flat (lap)'. A note has been added after clause 5. 1.		Immediate effect.
24	IS : 2106 (Part IV)-1963 Environmental Tests for Electronic Equipment Part IV Dry Heat Test.	S. O. 1683 dated June, 1963.	22, No. 1, February 1965.			
25	IS : 2166-1963 Method for Computation of Capacity Tables for Horizontal and Tilted Oil Storage Tanks.	S. O. 3590 dated December, 1963.	28 No. 1, February 1965.	Sub-clause 6.1.1, item B-3 and clause B-3.2 have been amended.		
26	IS : 2181-1962 Specification for Household Sewing Machines Needles.	S.O. 242 dated January, 1963.	26 No. 1, February 1965.	Clause 6.1 has been substituted by a new one		
27	IS : 2206 (Part I)-1962. Specification for Flameproof Electric Lighting Fittings Part I Well-Glass and Bulkhead Types.	S.O. 2370, dated August, 1963.	24 No. 1, February 1965.	Clause C-1-6, line 10—Substitute '15° to 50°C' for '15°C to 35°C'.		
28	IS : 2237-1962 Specification for Frozen Prawns (Shrimp).	S.O. 1147 dated April, 1963.	20 No. 2, March 1965.	Clauses 3.1. and 5.2., the existing title and heading of Table I and Appendix E have been substituted by new ones.		
29	IS : 2393-1963 Specification for Cylindrical and Taper Pins.	S.O. 2370, dated August, 1968.	24 No. 1, February 1965.	A new sub-clause 2.1.1 has been added.		Immediate effect
30	IS : 2510-1963 Specification for Bottom Fluted Rollers for Cotton Ring Spinning Frames Straight 'V' Shaped Equally Spaced Flutes.	S.O. 280 dated January, 1964.	25 No. 1 January, 1965.	Page 9, Table II, third entry in the second column—Substitute $1-1/8$ ' for ' $1/1-2$ '.		
31	IS : 2697-1964 Specification for Ammonium Bicarbonate for Food Industry.	S.O. 3329, dated September, 1964.	19 No. 1, January 1965.	Formula under clause A-3.3 has been substituted by a new one.		
32	IS : 2818-1964 Specification for Indian Hessian.	S.O. 3951, dated November, 1964.	16 No. 1, March 1965.	Clause 1.1., line 5—Substitute '229 g/m ² ' for '230 g/m ² '.		

Copies of these amendment slips are available, free of cost with the Indian Standards Institution, Manak Bhavan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi —1, & also at its branch offices at (i) Bombay Mutual Terrace, First Floor, 534, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel Road, Bombay-7, (ii) Third and Fourth Floors Chowringhee Approach, Calcutta-13, (iii) Second Floor Sathyamurthi Bhavan, 54, General Patters Road, Madras-2. and (iv) 14169 Civil Lines, Kanpur.

S.O. 2134 In pursuance of sub-regulations (2) and (3) of regulation 3 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, as amended in 1961, 1962 and 1964, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Indian Standard(s), particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been established during the period 4 June to 17 June, 1965.

THE SCHEDULE

Serial No. and Title of the Indian Standard Established	No. and Title of the Indian Standard or Standards, if any, superseded by the new Indian Standard	Brief Particulars
(1)	(2)	(3)
1 IS : 63-1964 Specification for Whiting for Paints (<i>Revised</i>).	IS : 63-1950 Specification for Whiting for Paints.	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for whiting used as an extender for paints (Price Re. 1.00).
2 IS : 445-1964 Specification for Water Hose of Rubber, High Pressure, with Woven Reinforcement (<i>Revised</i>).	IS : 445-1953 Specification for Water Hose, High Pressure, for Washing & Spraying.	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for water hose of rubber, high pressure with woven fabric reinforcement, and built on mandrels. This hose is used for purposes such as car washing and spraying of mild solutions of insecticides not containing oils or tarry matter (Price Rs. 1.50).
3 IS : 573-1964 Specification for Trisodium Phosphate, Technical (<i>Revised</i>).	IS : 573-1954 Specification for Trisodium Phosphate, Technical.	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of test for trisodium phosphate, technical. The material is used mainly as detergent and for boiler water treatment (Price Rs. 2.50).
IS : 824-1965 Preferred Values for Resistors and Capacitors (<i>Revised</i>).	IS : 824-1956 Series of Preferred Values for Capacitors & Resistors.	This standard lays down preferred values for : (a) resistance of fixed resistor, and (b) capacitance of fixed capacitors. (Price Re. 1.00).
5 IS : 1433-1965 Specification for Beam Scales (<i>Revised</i>).	IS : 1433-1960 Specification for Beam Scales.	This standard covers the requirements for beam scales of four classes meant for commercial purposes (Price Rs. 3.00).
6 IS : 1622-1964 Methods of Sampling and Test for Microbiological Examination of Water Used in Industry.	..	This standard prescribes methods of sampling and test for microbiological examination of water used in Industry. (Price Rs. 6.00).
7 IS : 2251-1965 Specification for Plug and Ring Gauges for Self-Holding Tapers.	..	This standard covers the requirements of plug and ring gauges for the verification of internal and external self holding tapers conforming to IS : 1715-1963 (Price Rs. 3.00).
8 IS : 2270-1965 Methods for Assaying of Platinum and Platinum Alloys.	..	This standard prescribes the methods for assaying of platinum and Platinum alloys (Price Rs. 2.50).

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
9	IS : 2507-1965 Specification for Cold Rolled Steel Strip for Springs.	..	This standard covers the requirements for cold rolled steel strip for manufacture of springs for various purposes. (Price Rs. 2.00).
10	IS : 2300-1964 Code of Practice for Tubewell Construction.	..	This code applies to the drilling and construction of tubewells (Price Rs. 5.00).
11	IS : 2809-1964 Glossary of Terms and Symbols Relating to Soil Mechanics.	..	This standard covers definitions of terms relating to soil mechanics (Price Rs. 5.50).
12	IS : 2837-1964 Specification for Porcelain Crucibles and Basins.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for porcelain crucibles and basins used for analytical purposes (Price Rs. 2.50).
13	IS : 2915-1964 Instructions for Collection of Data for the Determination of Error in Measurement of Flow by Velocity Area Methods.	..	This standard is intended chiefly to provide a standard basis for collection of data for the determination of error in the measurement of liquid flow in open channels by velocity area methods. (Price Rs. 4.50).
14	IS : 2931-1964 Specification for Ready Mixed Paint, Brushing Aluminium-Zinc Oxide Composite Primer.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for ready mixed paint, brushing, aluminium-Zinc oxide composite primer. (Price Re. 1.00).
15	IS : 2935-1964 Guide for Use of Quartz Oscillator Crystals.	..	This standard covers a recommended guide for the use of quartz crystal units for oscillators. (Price Rs. 5.50).
16	IS : 2935-1964 Specification for Coir Mattings for Cricket Pitches.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of test for coir mattings used for cricket pitches. (Price Rs. 1.50).
17	IS : 2956-1964 Specification for Coir Mats for Gymnasias.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements for two qualities of coir mats for gymnasias (Price Re. 1.00).
18	IS : 2960-1964 Specification for Bookbinding Leather.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of test for vegetable, chrome and chrome-vegetable combination tanned bookbinding leather. (Price Rs. 3.50).
19	IS : 2961-1964 Specification for Chrome Retan Upper Leather	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of test for chrome retan and finished leathers for footwear uppers involving only partial retannage and including mordanted leathers. (Price Rs. 2.50).

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
20	IS : 2962-1964 Methods of Sampling and Test for <i>Kattha</i> .	..	This standard lays down the methods of sampling and test for <i>Kattha</i> . (Price Rs. 2.50).
21	IS : 2974 (Part-I)-1964 Code of Practice for Design and Construction of Machine Foundations. Part I Foundations for Reciprocating Type Machines.	..	This standard covers the design and construction of foundations for machines of the reciprocating type which normally generate steady vibrations from low to medium frequency range. (Price Rs. 4.00).
22	IS : 2976-1964 Specification for Optical Theodolite.	..	This standard covers the functional and general requirements of optical theodolites. (Price Rs. 3.50).
23	IS : 2979-1964 Specification for Fusel Oil.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for fusel oil. (Price Rs. 2.50).
24	IS : 2981-1964 Layout Plan for Dairy Laboratories.	..	This standard prescribes the layout plans for dairy laboratories primarily for routine testing of milk and milk products. (Price Rs. 7.00).
25	IS : 2985-1964 Specification for Steel Castings for Ship's Structure.	..	This standard covers the requirements for steel castings for ship's structure. (Price Rs. 2.00).
26	IS : 2988-1965 Specification for Vernier Theodolite.	..	This standard covers the functional and general requirements of vernier theodolites. (Price Rs. 3.50).
27	IS : 2993-1964 Specification for Motor Capacitors.	..	This specification covers motor capacitors of metal-foil-paper design and of metallized paper design. (Price Rs. 4.00).
28	IS : 3024-1965 Specification for Electrical Steel Sheets (Oriented).	..	This standard covers the requirements for oriented magnetic steel sheet and strip primarily intended for machines and transformers operating at power frequencies and applies to material which shall be supplied in one nominal thickness only and in four grades. (Price Rs. 2.00).
29	IS : 3038-1965 Specification for Alloy Steel Castings for Pressure Containing Parts Suitable for High Temperature Service.	..	This standard covers the requirements for alloy steel castings for pressure containing parts suitable for high temperature service. Price (Rs. 2.50).
30	IS : 3041-1965 Specification for Chewing Tobacco, Manufactured Minced Type.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements for quality, packing, and the methods of test for chewing tobacco, manufactured minced type. (Price Rs. 5.00).

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
31	IS : 3044-1965 Specification for Mutton and Goat Meat, Curried and Canned.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of test for mutton and goat meat, curried and canned. (Price Rs. 1.50).
32	IS : 3045-1965 Marking of Hatchway Beams.	..	This standard covers the marking of hatchway beams fitted on board ships. (Price Re. 1.00).
33	IS : 3046-1965 Marking of Wooden Hatchway Covers.	..	This standard covers markings of wooden hatchway cover, fitted on board ships. (Price Re 1.00).
34	IS : 3047-1965 Accuracy Requirements for Volumetric Container Filling Machines Used in Petroleum Trade.	..	This standard covers the accuracy requirements for Volumetric container filling machines used in petroleum trade. (Price Re. 1.00).
35	IS : 3064-1964 Specification for Hand-Made Drawing Paper.	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for hand-made drawing paper. (Price Rs. 2.50).

Copies of these Indian Standards are available for sale, with the Indian Standards Institution, Manak Bhavan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-1 and also its branch offices at (i) Bombay Mutual Terrace, First Floor, 534, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel Road, Bombay, (ii) Third and Fourth Floors, 5, Chowringhee Approach, Calcutta-13, (iii) Second Floor, Sathyamurthy Bhavan, 54 General Patters Road, Madras-2 and (iv) 14/69 Civil Lines, Kanpur.

[No. MD/13:2.]

D. V. KARMARKAR,
Joint Director (Marks).